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The FIG has approved the 2017-2020/2021 FIG General Judges’ Rules which are applicable to all competitive disciplines. The specific rules and clarifications listed below apply only to this discipline and are in compliance with the General Judges’ Rules.

**ARTICLE 1 JUDGES’ COURSES**

Each Intercontinental and International Course, as well as the Federation requested courses will last 4 days. (Arrival and departure days not included).

The Course will include a theory examination on the Code of Points, Age Group Rules, Judges’ Rules and the Technical Regulations and a practical examination on video.

1.1 **Intercontinental Course**
- Each country will be permitted to send a maximum of three (3) judges to the Intercontinental Course, plus maximum (1) one Category 1 judge.
- New and current FIG TC members, along with Continental Union TC Presidents, are in addition to these quotas.
- Judges participating must be Category 1, 2, or 3.
- Judges sanctioned (sanctions as per FIG Statutes Art. 43.3 except point a) during the period starting 01.01.2013 to the date of the course may not participate in an Intercontinental judges’ Course.
- All judges who wish to raise or maintain their category must take the examination.
- At the Intercontinental Course, there is no maximum number of judges from an individual federation that may achieve Category 1.
- If the minimum passing grade is not achieved at the Intercontinental Course he/she may retest at an International Course.

1.2 **International Courses (A and B)**
- Judges with Category 1, 2, 3, 4 and judges with the highest national brevet may participate. The number of judges is limited to 10 judges per Federation per course. Should places be available after the registration deadline, additional judges may be accepted among the judges registered by their federation as “reserves”.
- Participants are able to qualify up to Category 2.
- Judges who failed or wish to achieve a higher score may retest at another International Judges’ Course.

1.3 **Federation requested judges’ courses (C)**

The Federation requested judges’ courses are organized by national federations, upon request and approval of FIG.

These courses are conducted for participants who want to get an international category 4 brevet. They are open to judges with international brevet or with minimum highest national level.

Participants are able to achieve only Category 4.
1.4 Examination Retest

A judge who wishes to achieve a higher score may retest at another International Judges’ Course.

A judge who failed and wish to obtain a brevet has to attend the entire course and take the examination.

- Judges who wish to retest must submit the retesting form to the FIG Office and the ACRO TC President prior to the International Course (retest) along with the registration
- Cat. 1: With repetition of both Theory and Practical exams Category 2 is the maximum that can be obtained, provided the judge has fulfilled the necessary criteria for Category 2.
- With successful repetition of the Theory and Practical, all judges will receive category, as was indicated by all standards achieved on the second examination.

ARTICLE 2 EXAMINATION

2.1 Theory

100 questions drawn out of 200 (1.00 point per answer)

- 50 questions on Rules (COP-AGR-JR-TR)
- 30 questions on Technical (deductions for Technical mistakes)
- 10 questions on Artistry (evaluation of Artistic performance)
- 10 on Difficulty (Candidates define the difficulty Value of the elements with the TOD)

Total: 100 points

2.2 Practical

2.2.1 Difficulty Part 1

10 Exercises Difficulty (10 x 10 points) drawn out of 30

Candidates have to check the Tariff sheets against the video. The correct penalties should be applied. Candidates will lose (1) point for the incorrect value, and for each missing penalty up to a maximum of 4 points per exercise

Maximum time for evaluation of D jury exercises is 90 seconds.

2.2.2 Difficulty Part 2

10 Elements Difficulty (10 x 5 points) drawn out of 30

Candidates have to determine the difficulty value and identify all the coordinates of the elements (with TOD)

For the elements of difficulty, the time is 45 minutes in total.

Total: 150 points (Part 1 + Part 2)

2.2.3 Artistry

10 Exercises Artistry (10 X 10= /2) drawn out of 30

Final score is based on deviation from the expert tolerance on each exercise

Maximum time for evaluation of A jury is 60 seconds.

Maximum: 100 points
A spreadsheet has been produced to work out the scores based on the deviation from the expert tolerance on each exercise.

2.2.4 Execution

10 Exercises Execution (10 X 10 points) *drawn out of 30*

Final score is based on deviation from the expert tolerance on each exercise

10 Elements Execution (10 X 5 points) *drawn out of 30*

Final score is based on deviation from the expert tolerance on each element

Maximum: 150 points

A spreadsheet has been produced to work out the scores (execution and artistry) based on the deviation from the expert tolerance on each exercise.

---

### Theory (20%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>E-score</th>
<th>A-score</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

A spreadsheet has been produced to work out the scores based on the average scores from the experts on each element.

---

**Notes:**
- Maximum time for evaluation of E jury is 60 seconds.
- The results are calculated using a spreadsheet that considers the deviation from the expert tolerance on each exercise.
A spreadsheet has been produced to work out the final weighted total scores as an overall percentage (%) and final result for all components of the exam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Number / Name</th>
<th>Actual Theory Score - Max 100</th>
<th>Theory Weighted Total</th>
<th>Actual Difficulty Score - Max 150</th>
<th>Diff Weighted Total</th>
<th>Actual Practical Execution - Max 150</th>
<th>Execution Weighted Total</th>
<th>Actual Practical Artistry - Max 100</th>
<th>Artistry Weighted Total</th>
<th>Overall % Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Flick</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Salto</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>67.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Handstand</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>55.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Flag</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>59.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Splits</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss. Twist</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Pike</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Front</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Ring</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The final total score for all components of the examination (once each component has been weighted as per the specific ACRO judging rules) will be the final score allocated to the candidate. However, Article 2 will apply in terms of the minimum standards to achieve or maintain a specific judging category.

2.3 Examination Evaluation, Pass marks/Grades (provisional)

The specific evaluation scales required for each category in the examinations will be determined fixed by the Technical Committee at the conclusion of the Intercontinental Course. The scales will remain the same for the remainder of the cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practical D</th>
<th>Practical E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>127-150 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>120-126 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>105-119 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>90-104 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory (Fail)</td>
<td>89 &amp; below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practical A</th>
<th>Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>90-100 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>77-89 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>70-76 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>59-69 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory (Fail)</td>
<td>58 &amp; below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To pass the examination and receive or maintain a category, the following minimum requirements must be met:
2.4 Minimum Standards to achieve or maintain each category (General Judges’ Rules)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category 1</th>
<th>Category 2</th>
<th>Category 3</th>
<th>Category 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>= Very Good</td>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>= Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution</td>
<td>= Very Good</td>
<td>Execution</td>
<td>= Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artistry</td>
<td>= Very Good</td>
<td>Artistry</td>
<td>= Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>= Excellent</td>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>= Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARTICLE 3 CATEGORY 1 JUDGES

3.1 Category 1 Minimum Quotas

- A minimum of 10 federations will have Category 1 judges selected based on the D jury evaluation and passing the minimum evaluation scale requirements.
- A minimum of 15 Category 1 judges will be selected.

ARTICLE 4 JUDGES’ EVALUATION

Continuous assessment of a judge’s work takes place at all FIG events (WCH, The World Games, WAGC and World Cups).

Continental Committees and national federations are invited to use a comparable system for their own events.

The Judges’ Evaluation Program (JEP) serves as a tool to control the objectivity of the judges during the competition, for the analysis of the judges after the competition (post competition control), and to establish an overall evaluation of the judges during the cycle by respective Technical Committees.

The evaluation of the judges’ work over a period of one Olympic cycle serves to award judges and consider for selection to Olympic Games, Youth Olympic Games, The World Games and other major Multisport Games, those who are qualified as “excellent” at the end of an Olympic cycle. This final designation also gives, depending on the level of their qualification (excellent, very good and good), privileges to access intercontinental and international judges’ courses, to grant exemptions for exams and allow for possible upgrades as outlined in the General Judges’ Rules. (Detailed JEP Rules available on the FIG website)

When the JEP system is not available a judge is assessed throughout the competition and after the competition by the TC ACRO for:

- Accuracy, Bias, Consistency, Discipline, Ethics

Accuracy

Assessed by consideration of the deviation from the final scores allowed by a panel of judges and video analysis after the competition. Accuracy is also assessed by consideration of the ability to apply the rules of the Code of Points and to discriminate between the quality of different exercises (e.g. rather than all being given the same mark).

Bias (integrity)

Assessed by consideration of the rankings given for the performed exercises by a judge in one round of the competition and over the whole competition. Any favour or disfavour in the marks given to particular federations, when compared to other judges, is also considered.
Consistency
Assessed by consideration of any changes in the standard of marks given for evaluation of exercises (e.g. always lowest marker and suddenly becomes highest).

Discipline
Assessment by consideration of the correctness of behaviour before and during the competition (e.g. concerning punctuality; speed of judging; respect of others and the rules; influencing other judges’ marks; unethical actions).

Ethics
To abide by the FIG code of ethics.

The judges’ assessment for each criterion during a Group 2, 3 and 4 competition is converted into a score and a total is registered in the judge’s profile for a cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARTICLE 5 JUDGES’ NOMINATION, SELECTION AND DRAW

5.1 General Principle
Each NF must present one Judge and may present a second judge Category 1, 2 or 3, in good standing. NFs who have one of their judges drawn as CJP or DJ may propose one or two additional judge(s) of Category 1, 2 or 3.

If the federation sends only one or no judge and a reserve has to be substituted, the federation will be fined in accordance with the FIG Technical Regulations 7.10.3d (CHF 2,000).

All judges will be given the opportunity to judge at least one event.

5.2 Judges’ selection process for World Championships and The World Games

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pos.</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>How to select</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CJP</td>
<td>2 (1/ panel)</td>
<td>Draw from Cat. 1 Judges</td>
<td>Draw during a TC Meeting in Lausanne – but minimum 6 months prior the WCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIF</td>
<td>4=2 teams OR 6=3 teams</td>
<td>Draw from Cat. 1 and 2 Judges, but priority to Cat. 1 Considering the best results in the examination of Difficulty and the experience.</td>
<td>Draw during the TC Meeting but minimum 6 months prior the WCH Cannot be same nationality as CJP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

They are selected, from the Category 1 Brevet Judges of good standing. The highest ranked judges will be prioritized. A reserve substitute will be invited, if confirmation is not received by the target date from the Federation concerned and a fine will be imposed with reference to TR 7.10.3d. No appeal is allowed.

The Chairs of Judges’ Panel (Cat.1) and the Difficulty Judges (Cat 1 and Cat 2) and the E and A Judges for The World Games are drawn by the ACRO TC six months prior to the competition by the judges’ selection process.

Federations not accepting the nomination will not be allowed to send a judge.
5.3 Draw Procedure

Draw the 2 CJP from all Cat. 1 judges.

- They are assigned to Panel A and Panel B.
- Reserve CJP from a different NF as the ones drawn as above.

Draw the D Judges

(4 dif + 2 reserves) from Cat 1 & 2 judges with 85% or higher in Difficulty Examination.

The order of the draw is:

a) Dif. 1 team A
b) Dif. 1 team B
c) Dif. 2 team A
d) Dif. 2 team B
e) Dif. Reserve 1
f) Dif. Reserve 2

If a judge is drawn from the same NF as the CJP, he/she will move directly to the other panel.

If that is not possible, another eligible judge will be drawn.

5.4 Criteria during the current or the previous cycle:

For experience

Participation as an E or A judge in a minimum of one World Championships or The World Games in the relevant panel (i.e. A or E)

Honesty and Integrity

JEP evaluation:

NO significant bias identified
NO warnings or sanctions received during the current or previous cycle

If a judge is nominated from same country as CJP, he/she will move direct to the other panel. If that is not possible, another eligible judge will be nominated.

Up to 6 DJs are drawn provisionally by the TC ACRO at least 6 months prior to the competition date and confirmed after the provisional closure date.

If a NF rejects the draw/nomination as CJP or D Judge, this judge may not be selected to another position for the same competition.

Judges for Execution and Artistic Panels will be drawn in the period just prior to competition. Included in the draw will be all judges not officiating as Difficulty Judges in the particular event.

In the event of illness, lateness or sanction of a judge, a reserve judge will be assigned as a replacement. This will not invalidate the replacement judge from officiating in his/her own drawn places. It is at the discretion of the Superior Jury, after taking into account the reason for earlier disqualification, to allow the replaced judge to return to officiating later in the competition.
ARTICLE 6 MISCELLANEOUS
Refer to the 2017-2020/2021 FIG General Judges' Rules for the following topics
   – General Principles
   – Examination Principles
   – Conditions to obtain or maintain Category for the 14th cycle
   – Judges' Brevet and Judges' Logbooks
   – FIG Academy (L- 3) Coaches International Judges' Course (Level 3 only)
   – Competition Groups, Judges’ courses and eligibility
   – Recognition/Awards, Sanctions and Appeals of Judges – For FIG Official Competitions

ARTICLE 7 FINAL PROVISIONS
This 2017 – 2020/2021 FIG Judges’ Rules – Specific Rules for Acrobatic Gymnastics, have been approved by the Presidential Commission at its meeting on July 1st 2017 and updated by the EC in June 2020. They enter into effect immediately.

FEDERATION INTERNATIONALE DE GYMNASTIQUE

Morinari Watanabe  Nicolas Buompane  Rosy Taeymans
President      Secretary General      ACRO TC President

Lausanne, January 2020 / June 2020