FIG Anti-Doping Rules are based on Wada’s Models of Best Practice for International Federations and the World Anti-Doping Code.
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FIG ANTI-DOPING RULES

INTRODUCTION

Preface

At the Fédération Internationale de Gymnastique (hereafter FIG) Executive Committee meeting held on 25 February 2015 in Lausanne, Switzerland, the FIG accepted the revised World Anti-Doping Code (the "Code") version 2015. These Anti-Doping Rules are adopted and implemented in accordance with FIG's responsibilities under the Code, and in furtherance of FIG's continuing efforts to eradicate doping in sport.

These Anti-Doping Rules are sport rules governing the conditions under which sport is played. Aimed at enforcing anti-doping principles in a global and harmonized manner, they are distinct in nature from criminal and civil laws, and are not intended to be subject to or limited by any national requirements and legal standards applicable to criminal or civil proceedings. When reviewing the facts and the law of a given case, all courts, arbitral tribunals and other adjudicating bodies should be aware of and respect the distinct nature of these Anti-Doping Rules implementing the Code and the fact that these rules represent the consensus of a broad spectrum of stakeholders around the world as to what is necessary to protect and ensure fair sport.

Fundamental Rationale for the Code and FIG’s Anti-Doping Rules

Anti-doping programs seek to preserve what is intrinsically valuable about sport. This intrinsic value is often referred to as "the spirit of sport". It is the essence of Olympism, the pursuit of human excellence through the dedicated perfection of each person’s natural talents. It is how we play true. The spirit of sport is the celebration of the human spirit, body and mind, and is reflected in values we find in and through sport, including:

- Ethics, fair play and honesty
- Health
- Excellence in performance
- Character and education
- Fun and joy
- Teamwork
- Dedication and commitment
- Respect for rules and laws
- Respect for self and other Participants
- Courage
- Community and solidarity

Doping is fundamentally contrary to the spirit of sport.

Scope of these Anti-Doping Rules

These Anti-Doping Rules shall apply to FIG and to each of its National Federations and Continental Unions. They also apply to the following Gymnasts, Gymnast Support Personnel and
other Persons, each of whom is deemed, as a condition of his/her membership, accreditation and/or participation in the sport, to have agreed to be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules, and to have submitted to the authority of FIG to enforce these Anti-Doping Rules and to the jurisdiction of the FIG Disciplinary Commission specified in Article 8 and Article 13 to hear and determine cases and appeals brought under these Anti-Doping Rules:

a. all Gymnasts and Gymnast Support Personnel who are members of FIG, or of any National Federation or of any Continental Unions, or of any member or affiliate organization of any National Federation (including any clubs, teams, associations or leagues);

b. all Gymnasts and Gymnast Support Personnel participating in such capacity in Events, Competitions and other activities organized, convened, authorized or recognized by FIG, or any National Federation, Continental Unions or any member or affiliate organization of any National Federation (including any clubs, teams, associations or leagues), wherever held;

c. any other Gymnast or Gymnast Support Personnel or other Person who, by virtue of an accreditation, a licence or other contractual arrangement, or otherwise, is subject to the jurisdiction of FIG, or of any National Federation, Continental Unions or of any member or affiliate organization of any National Federation (including any clubs, teams, associations or leagues), for purposes of anti-doping; To be eligible for participation in International Events, a competitor must have a FIG licence. The FIG licence will only be issued to competitors who have personally signed an agreement in the actual form approved by the FIG. All forms from Minors must be counter-signed by their legal guardians.

d. Gymnasts who are not regular members of FIG or of one of its Continental Unions or one of its National Federations but who want to be eligible to compete in a particular International Event FIG may include such Gymnasts in its Registered Testing Pool so that they are required to provide information about their whereabouts for purposes of Testing under these Anti-Doping Rules for at least one month prior to the International Event in question.

e. Gymnasts who are participating in any disciplines recognized by FIG or one of its Continental Unions or National Federations.

Within the overall pool of Gymnasts set out above who are bound by and required to comply with these Anti-Doping Rules, the following Gymnasts shall be considered to be International-Level Gymnasts for purposes of these Anti-Doping Rules, and therefore the specific provisions in these Anti-Doping Rules applicable to International-Level Gymnasts (as regards Testing but also as regards TUEs, whereabouts information, results management, and appeals) shall apply to such Gymnasts:

a. Gymnasts who are part of the FIG Registered Testing Pool;

b. Gymnasts who have a valid FIG license who participate in selected FIG International Events published by FIG in its website: http://www.fig-gymnastics.com/site/competition/search
ARTICLE 1  DEFINITION OF DOPING

Doping is defined as the occurrence of one or more of the anti-doping rule violations set forth in Article 2.1 through Article 2.10 of these Anti-Doping Rules.

ARTICLE 2  ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS

The purpose of Article 2 is to specify the circumstances and conduct which constitute anti-doping rule violations. Hearings in doping cases will proceed based on the assertion that one or more of these specific rules have been violated.

Athletes or other Persons shall be responsible for knowing what constitutes an anti-doping rule violation and the substances and methods which have been included on the Prohibited List.

The following constitute anti-doping rule violations:

2.1 Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Gymnast's Sample

2.1.1 It is each Gymnast's personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. Gymnasts are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in their Samples. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, Fault, negligence or knowing Use on the Gymnast's part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1.

2.1.2 Sufficient proof of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1 is established by any of the following: presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in the Gymnast’s A Sample where the Gymnast waives analysis of the B Sample and the B Sample is not analyzed; or, where the Gymnast’s B Sample is analyzed and the analysis of the Gymnast’s B Sample confirms the presence of the Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found in the Gymnast’s A Sample; or, where the Gymnast’s B Sample is split into two bottles and the analysis of the second bottle confirms the presence of the Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found in the first bottle.

2.1.3 Excepting those substances for which a quantitative threshold is specifically identified in the Prohibited List, the presence of any quantity of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in a Gymnast’s Sample shall constitute an anti-doping rule violation.

2.1.4 As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1, the Prohibited List or International Standards may establish special criteria for the evaluation of Prohibited Substances that can also be produced endogenously.

2.2 Use or Attempted Use by a Gymnast of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method

2.2.1 It is each Gymnast’s personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body and that no Prohibited Method is Used. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, Fault, negligence or knowing Use on the Gymnast’s part be
demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation for Use of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method.

2.2.2 The success or failure of the Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method is not material. It is sufficient that the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method was Used or Attempted to be Used for an anti-doping rule violation to be committed.

2.3 Evading, Refusing or Failing to Submit to Sample Collection

Evading Sample collection, or without compelling justification refusing or failing to submit to Sample collection after notification as authorized in these Anti-Doping Rules or other applicable anti-doping rules.

2.4 Whereabouts Failures

Any combination of three missed tests and/or filing failures, as defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, within a twelve-month period by a Gymnast in a Registered Testing Pool.

2.5 Tampering or Attempted Tampering with any part of Doping Control

Conduct which subverts the Doping Control process but which would not otherwise be included in the definition of Prohibited Methods. Tampering shall include, without limitation, intentionally interfering or attempting to interfere with a Doping Control official, providing fraudulent information to an Anti-Doping Organization, or intimidating or attempting to intimidate a potential witness.

2.6 Possession of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method

2.6.1 Possession by a Gymnast In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method, or Possession by a Gymnast Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method which is prohibited Out-of-Competition unless the Gymnast establishes that the Possession is consistent with a Therapeutic Use Exemption (“TUE”) granted in accordance with Article 4.4 or other acceptable justification.

2.6.2 Possession by a Gymnast Support Person In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method, or Possession by an Gymnast Support Person Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method which is prohibited Out-of-Competition in connection with a Gymnast, Competition or training, unless the Gymnast Support Person establishes that the Possession is consistent with a TUE granted to an Gymnast in accordance with Article 4.4 or other acceptable justification.

2.7 Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking in any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method

2.8 Administration or Attempted Administration to any Gymnast In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, or Administration or Attempted Administration to any Gymnast Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method that is prohibited Out-of-Competition
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2.9 Complicity

Assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, conspiring, covering up or any other type of intentional complicity involving an anti-doping rule violation, Attempted anti-doping rule violation or violation of Article 10.12.1 by another Person.

2.10 Prohibited Association

Association by a Gymnast or other Person subject to the authority of an Anti-Doping Organization in a professional or sport-related capacity with any Gymnast Support Person who:

2.10.1 If subject to the authority of an Anti-Doping Organization, is serving a period of Ineligibility; or

2.10.2 If not subject to the authority of an Anti-Doping Organization and where Ineligibility has not been addressed in a results management process pursuant to the Code, has been convicted or found in a criminal, disciplinary or professional proceeding to have engaged in conduct which would have constituted a violation of anti-doping rules if Code-compliant rules had been applicable to such Person. The disqualifying status of such Person shall be in force for the longer of six years from the criminal, professional or disciplinary decision or the duration of the criminal, disciplinary or professional sanction imposed; or

2.10.3 Is serving as a front or intermediary for an individual described in Article 2.10.1 or 2.10.2.

In order for this provision to apply, it is necessary that the Gymnast or other Person has previously been advised in writing by an Anti-Doping Organization with jurisdiction over the Gymnast or other Person, or by WADA, of the Gymnast Support Person’s disqualifying status and the potential Consequence of prohibited association and that the Gymnast or other Person can reasonably avoid the association. The Anti-Doping Organization shall also use reasonable efforts to advise the Gymnast Support Person who is the subject of the notice to the Gymnast or other Person that the Gymnast Support Person may, within 15 days, come forward to the Anti-Doping Organization to explain that the criteria described in Articles 2.10.1 and 2.10.2 do not apply to him or her. (Notwithstanding Article 17, this Article applies even when the Gymnast Support Person’s disqualifying conduct occurred prior to the effective date provided in Article 20.7.)

The burden shall be on the Gymnast or other Person to establish that any association with Gymnast Support Personnel described in Article 2.10.1 or 2.10.2 is not in a professional or sport-related capacity.

Anti-Doping Organizations that are aware of Gymnast Support Personnel who meet the criteria described in Article 2.10.1, 2.10.2, or 2.10.3 shall submit that information to WADA.
ARTICLE 3  PROOF OF DOPING

3.1  Burdens and Standards of Proof

FIG shall have the burden of establishing that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. The standard of proof shall be whether FIG has established an anti-doping rule violation to the comfortable satisfaction of the FIG Disciplinary Commission bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegation which is made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Where these Anti-Doping Rules place the burden of proof upon the Gymnast or other Person alleged to have committed an anti-doping rule violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, the standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability.

3.2  Methods of Establishing Facts and Presumptions

Facts related to anti-doping rule violations may be established by any reliable means, including admissions. The following rules of proof shall be applicable in doping cases:

3.2.1  Analytical methods or decision limits approved by WADA after consultation within the relevant scientific community and which have been the subject of peer review are presumed to be scientifically valid. Any Gymnast or other Person seeking to rebut this presumption of scientific validity shall, as a condition precedent to any such challenge, first notify WADA of the challenge and the basis of the challenge. CAS on its own initiative may also inform WADA of any such challenge. At WADA’s request, the CAS panel shall appoint an appropriate scientific expert to assist the panel in its evaluation of the challenge. Within 10 days of WADA’s receipt of such notice, and WADA’s receipt of the CAS file, WADA shall also have the right to intervene as a party, appear amicus curiae, or otherwise provide evidence in such proceeding.

3.2.2  WADA-accredited laboratories, and other laboratories approved by WADA, are presumed to have conducted Sample analysis and custodial procedures in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories. The Gymnast or other Person may rebut this presumption by establishing that a departure from the International Standard for Laboratories occurred which could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding. If the Gymnast or other Person rebuts the preceding presumption by showing that a departure from the International Standard for Laboratories occurred which could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, then FIG shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding.

3.2.3  Departures from any other International Standard or other anti-doping rule or policy set forth in the Code or these Anti-Doping Rules which did not cause an Adverse Analytical Finding or other anti-doping rule violation shall not invalidate such evidence or results. If the Gymnast or other Person establishes a departure from another International Standard or other anti-doping rule or policy which could reasonably have caused an anti-doping rule violation based on an Adverse Analytical Finding or other anti-doping rule violation, then FIG shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding or the factual basis for the anti-doping rule violation.
3.2.4 The facts established by a decision of a court or professional disciplinary tribunal of competent jurisdiction which is not the subject of a pending appeal shall be irrebuttable evidence against the Gymnast or other Person to whom the decision pertained of those facts unless the Gymnast or other Person establishes that the decision violated principles of natural justice.

3.2.5 The FIG Disciplinary Commission in a hearing on an anti-doping rule violation may draw an inference adverse to the Gymnast or other Person who is asserted to have committed an anti-doping rule violation based on the Gymnast’s or other Person’s refusal, after a request made in a reasonable time in advance of the hearing, to appear at the hearing (either in person or telephonically as directed by the FIG Disciplinary Commission) and to answer questions from the FIG Disciplinary Commission or FIG.

ARTICLE 4 THE PROHIBITED LIST

4.1 Incorporation of the Prohibited List

These Anti-Doping Rules incorporate the Prohibited List, which is published and revised by WADA as described in Article 4.1 of the Code.

4.2 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods Identified on the Prohibited List

4.2.1 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods

Unless provided otherwise in the Prohibited List and revisions shall go into effect under these Anti-Doping Rules three months after publication by WADA, without requiring any further action by FIG or its National Federations. All Gymnasts and other Persons shall be bound by the Prohibited List, and any revisions thereto, from the date they go into effect, without further formality. It is the responsibility of all Gymnasts and other Persons to familiarize themselves with the most up-to-date version of the Prohibited List and all revisions thereto.

4.2.2 Specified Substances

For purposes of the application of Article 10, all Prohibited Substances shall be Specified Substances except substances in the classes of anabolic agents and hormones and those stimulants and hormone antagonists and modulators so identified on the Prohibited List. The category of Specified Substances shall not include Prohibited Methods.

4.3 WADA’s Determination of the Prohibited List

WADA’s determination of the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods that will be included on the Prohibited List, the classification of substances into categories on the Prohibited List, and the classification of a substance as prohibited at all times or In-Competition only, is final and shall not be subject to challenge by a Gymnast or other Person based on an argument that the substance or method was not a masking agent or
did not have the potential to enhance performance, represent a health risk or violate the spirit of sport.

4.4 Therapeutic Use Exemptions ("TUEs")

4.4.1 The presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers, and/or the Use or Attempted Use, Possession or Administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, shall not be considered an anti-doping rule violation if it is consistent with the provisions of a TUE granted in accordance with the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.

4.4.2 If an International-Level Gymnast (as defined in the Scope of these Anti-Doping Rules) is using a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method for therapeutic reasons:

4.4.2.1 Where the Gymnast already has a TUE granted by his or her National Anti-Doping Organization for the substance or method in question, that TUE is not automatically valid for international-level Competition. However, the Gymnast may apply to FIG to recognize that TUE, in accordance with Article 7 of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions. If that TUE meets the criteria set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, then FIG shall recognize it for purposes of international-level Competition as well. If FIG considers that the TUE does not meet those criteria and so refuses to recognize it, FIG shall notify the Gymnast and his or her National Anti-Doping Organization promptly, with reasons. The Gymnast and the National Anti-Doping Organization shall have 21 days from such notification to refer the matter to WADA for review in accordance with Article 4.4.6. If the matter is referred to WADA for review, the TUE granted by the National Anti-Doping Organization remains valid for national-level Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing (but is not valid for international-level Competition) pending WADA’s decision. If the matter is not referred to WADA for review, the TUE becomes invalid for any purpose when the 21-day review deadline expires.

4.4.2.2 If the Gymnast does not already have a TUE granted by his/her National Anti-Doping Organization for the substance or method in question, the Gymnast must apply directly to FIG for a TUE in accordance with the process set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, using ADAMS or the form posted on FIG website at www.fig-gymnastics.com. If FIG denies the Gymnast’s application, it must notify the Gymnast promptly, with reasons. If FIG grants the Gymnast’s application, it shall notify not only the Gymnast but also his/her National Anti-Doping Organization. If the National Anti-Doping Organization considers that the TUE granted by FIG does not meet the criteria set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, it has 21 days from such notification to refer the matter to WADA for review in accordance with Article 4.4.6. If the National Anti-Doping Organization refers the matter to WADA for review, the TUE granted by FIG remains valid for international-level Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing (but is not valid for national-level Competition) pending WADA’s decision. If the National Anti-
Doping Organization does not refer the matter to WADA for review, the TUE granted by FIG becomes valid for national-level Competition as well when the 21-day review deadline expires.

4.4.3 If FIG chooses to test a Gymnast who is not an International-Level Gymnast, FIG shall recognize a TUE granted to that Gymnast by his or her National Anti-Doping Organization. If FIG chooses to test a Gymnast who is not an International-Level or a National-Level Gymnast, FIG shall permit that Gymnast to apply for a retroactive TUE for any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method that he/she is using for therapeutic reasons.

4.4.4 An application to FIG for grant or recognition of a TUE should be made as soon as the need arises. For substances prohibited In-Competition only, the Gymnast should apply for a TUE at least 30 days before the Gymnast’s next Competition unless it is an emergency or exceptional situation. A Gymnast may only be granted retroactive approval for his/her Therapeutic Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method (i.e., a retroactive TUE) if:

a. Emergency treatment or treatment of an acute medical condition was necessary; or
b. Due to other exceptional circumstances, there was insufficient time or opportunity for the Gymnast to submit, or for the TUEC to consider, an application for the TUE prior to Sample collection; or
c. The applicable rules required the Gymnast or permitted the Gymnast (see Code Article 4.4.5) to apply for a retroactive TUE; or
d. It is agreed, by WADA and by the Anti-Doping Organization to whom the application for a retroactive TUE is or would be made, that fairness requires the grant of a retroactive TUE.

FIG shall appoint a standing panel of at least 3 physicians to consider applications for the grant or recognition of TUEs (the “TUE Committee”). Upon FIG’s receipt of a TUE request, the FIG’s Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate shall appoint the TUE Committee which will consider such request. The TUE Committee shall promptly evaluate and decide upon the application in accordance with the relevant provisions of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions and the eventual specific FIG’s protocols posted on its website. Subject to Article 4.4.6 of these Rules, its decision shall be the final decision of FIG, and shall be reported to WADA and other relevant Anti-Doping Organizations, including the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization, through ADAMS, in accordance with the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.

4.4.5 Expiration, Cancellation, Withdrawal or Reversal of a TUE

4.4.5.1 A TUE granted pursuant to these Anti-Doping Rules: (a) shall expire automatically at the end of any term for which it was granted, without the need for any further notice or other formality; (b) may be cancelled if the Gymnast does not promptly comply with any requirements or conditions imposed by the TUE Committee upon grant of the TUE; (c) may be withdrawn by the TUE Committee if it is subsequently determined that the criteria for grant of a TUE are not in fact met; or (d) may be reversed on review by WADA or on appeal.

4.4.5.2 In such event, the Gymnast shall not be subject to any Consequences based on his/her Use or Possession or Administration of
the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method in question in accordance with the TUE prior to the effective date of expiry, cancellation, withdrawal or reversal of the TUE. The review pursuant to Article 7.2 of any subsequent Adverse Analytical Finding shall include consideration of whether such finding is consistent with Use of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method prior to that date, in which event no anti-doping rule violation shall be asserted.

4.4.6 Reviews and Appeals of TUE Decisions

4.4.6.1 WADA shall review any decision by FIG not to recognize a TUE granted by the National Anti-Doping Organization that is referred to WADA by the Gymnast or the Gymnast's National Anti-Doping Organization. In addition, WADA shall review any decision by FIG to grant a TUE that is referred to WADA by the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization. WADA may review any other TUE decisions at any time, whether upon request by those affected or on its own initiative. If the TUE decision being reviewed meets the criteria set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, WADA will not interfere with it. If the TUE decision does not meet those criteria, WADA will reverse it.

4.4.6.2 Any TUE decision by FIG (or by a National Anti-Doping Organization where it has agreed to consider the application on behalf of FIG) that is not reviewed by WADA, or that is reviewed by WADA but is not reversed upon review, may be appealed by the Gymnast and/or the Gymnast's National Anti-Doping Organization exclusively to CAS, in accordance with Article 13.

4.4.6.3 A decision by WADA to reverse a TUE decision may be appealed by the Gymnast, the National Anti-Doping Organization and/or FIG exclusively to CAS, in accordance with Article 13.

4.4.6.4 A failure to take action within a reasonable time on a properly submitted application for grant or recognition of a TUE or for review of a TUE decision shall be considered a denial of the application.

ARTICLE 5 TESTING AND INVESTIGATIONS

5.1 Purpose of Testing and Investigations

Testing and investigations shall only be undertaken for anti-doping purposes. They shall be conducted in conformity with the provisions of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and the eventual specific protocols of FIG supplementing that International Standard.

5.1.1 Testing shall be undertaken to obtain analytical evidence as to the Gymnast’s compliance (or non-compliance) with the strict Code prohibition on the presence/Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method. Test distribution planning, Testing, post-Testing activity and all related activities conducted by FIG shall be in conformity with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. FIG shall determine the number of finishing placement tests, random tests and
target tests to be performed, in accordance with the criteria established by the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. All provisions of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall apply automatically in respect of all such Testing.

5.1.2 Investigations shall be undertaken:

5.1.2.1 in relation to Atypical Findings, Atypical Passport Findings and Adverse Passport Findings, in accordance with Articles 7.4 and 7.5 respectively, gathering intelligence or evidence (including, in particular, analytical evidence) in order to determine whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred under Article 2.1 and/or Article 2.2; and

5.1.2.2 in relation to other indications of potential anti-doping rule violations, in accordance with Articles 7.6 and 7.7, gathering intelligence or evidence (including, in particular, non-analytical evidence) in order to determine whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred under any of Articles 2.2 to 2.10.

5.1.3 FIG may obtain, assess and process anti-doping intelligence from all available sources, to inform the development of an effective, intelligent and proportionate test distribution plan, to plan Target Testing, and/or to form the basis of an investigation into a possible anti-doping rule violation(s).

5.2 Authority to conduct Testing

5.2.1 Subject to the jurisdictional limitations for Event Testing set out in Article 5.3 of the Code, FIG shall have In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing authority over all of the Gymnasts specified in the Introduction to these Anti-Doping Rules (under the heading "Scope").

5.2.2 FIG may require any Gymnast over whom it has Testing authority (including any Gymnast serving a period of Ineligibility) to provide a Sample at any time and at any place.

5.2.3 WADA shall have In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing authority as set out in Article 20.7.8 of the Code.

5.2.4 If FIG delegates or contracts any part of Testing to a National Anti-Doping Organization (directly or through a National Federation), that National Anti-Doping Organization may collect additional Samples or direct the laboratory to perform additional types of analysis at the National Anti-Doping Organization’s expense. If additional Samples are collected or additional types of analysis are performed, FIG shall be notified.

5.3 Event Testing

5.3.1 Except as provided in Article 5.3 of the Code, only a single organization should be responsible for initiating and directing Testing at Event Venues during an Event Period. At International Events, as defined in Appendix 1 of these anti-doping rules, the collection of Samples shall be initiated and directed by FIG (or any other international organization which is the ruling body for the Event). At the
request of FIG (or any other international organization which is the ruling body for an *Event*), any *Testing* during the *Event Period* outside of the *Event Venues* shall be coordinated with FIG (or the relevant ruling body of the *Event*).

### 5.3.2 If an Anti-Doping Organization which would otherwise have Testing authority but is not responsible for initiating and directing *Testing* at an *Event* desires to conduct *Testing* of *Gymnasts* at the *Event Venues* during the *Event Period*, the Anti-Doping Organization shall first confer with FIG (or any other international organization which is the ruling body of the *Event*) to obtain permission to conduct and coordinate such *Testing*. If the Anti-Doping Organization is not satisfied with the response from FIG (or any other international organization which is the ruling body of the *Event*), the Anti-Doping Organization may ask WADA for permission to conduct *Testing* and to determine how to coordinate such *Testing*, in accordance with the procedures set out in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. WADA shall not grant approval for such *Testing* before consulting with and informing FIG (or any other international organization which is the ruling body for the *Event*). WADA’s decision shall be final and not subject to appeal. Unless otherwise provided in the authorization to conduct *Testing*, such tests shall be considered *Out-of-Competition* tests. Results management for any such test shall be the responsibility of the Anti-Doping Organization initiating the test unless provided otherwise in the rules of the ruling body of the *Event*.

### 5.4 Test Distribution Planning

Consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, and in coordination with other Anti-Doping Organizations conducting *Testing* on the same *Gymnasts*, FIG shall develop and implement an effective, intelligent and proportionate test distribution plan that prioritizes appropriately between disciplines, categories of *Gymnasts*, types of *Testing*, types of *Samples* collected, and types of *Sample* analysis, all in compliance with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. FIG shall provide WADA upon request with a copy of its current test distribution plan. FIG shall ensure that Gymnast Support Personnel and/or any other Person with a conflict of interest are not involved in test distribution plan for their *Gymnasts* or in the process of selection of *Gymnasts* for *Testing*.

### 5.5 Coordination of *Testing*

Where reasonably feasible, *Testing* shall be coordinated through ADAMS or another system approved by WADA in order to maximize the effectiveness of the combined *Testing* effort and to avoid unnecessary repetitive *Testing*.

### 5.6 Gymnast Whereabouts Information

#### 5.6.1 FIG may identify a Registered Testing Pool of those *Gymnasts* who are required to comply with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, and shall make available through ADAMS, a list which identifies those *Gymnasts* included in its Registered Testing Pool either by name or by clearly defined, specific criteria. FIG shall coordinate with National Anti-Doping Organizations the identification of such *Gymnasts* and the collection of their whereabouts information. FIG shall review and update as necessary its criteria for including *Gymnasts* in its Registered
Testing Pool, and shall revise the membership of its Registered Testing Pool from time to time as appropriate in accordance with the set criteria. Gymnasts shall be notified before they are included in a Registered Testing Pool and when they are removed from that pool. Each Gymnast in the Registered Testing Pool shall do the following, in each case in accordance with Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations: (a) advise FIG of his/her whereabouts on a quarterly basis; (b) update that information as necessary so that it remains accurate and complete at all times; and (c) make him/herself available for Testing at such whereabouts.

5.6.2 For purposes of Article 2.4, a Gymnast’s failure to comply with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall be deemed a filing failure or a missed test (as defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations) where the conditions set forth in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations for declaring a filing failure or missed test are met.

5.6.3 A Gymnast in FIG’s Registered Testing Pool shall continue to be subject to the obligation to comply with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations unless and until (a) the Gymnast gives written notice to FIG that he/she has retired or (b) FIG has informed him or her that he/she no longer satisfies the criteria for inclusion in FIG’s Registered Testing Pool.

5.6.4 Whereabouts information relating to a Gymnast shall be shared (through ADAMS) with WADA and other Anti-Doping Organizations having authority to test that Gymnast, shall be maintained in strict confidence at all times, shall be used exclusively for the purposes set out in Article 5.6 of the Code, and shall be destroyed in accordance with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information once it is no longer relevant for these purposes.

5.6.5 Each National Federation shall use its best efforts to ensure that Gymnasts in the FIG’s Registered Testing Pool submit whereabouts information as required. However, the ultimate responsibility for providing whereabouts information rests with each Gymnast. Every National Federation shall report to FIG the relevant contact details (names, postal and email addresses etc.) of all Gymnasts identified to be part of the Registered Testing Pool established by FIG.

5.6.6. Testing Pool of Gymnasts/National Teams

FIG may identify a Testing Pool of those Gymnasts/National Teams who are required to comply with the FIG whereabouts requirements.

Gymnasts shall be notified through their National Federations before they are included in the Testing Pool and when they are removed from that pool. Each Gymnast/National Team in the Testing Pool shall provide to FIG at least the following information:

a) An up-to-date mailing and e-mail address,
b) Training whereabouts (including usual training venue/s addresses and usual timing of the training) and
c) All national team activities (including training, camps and matches with accurate schedules and addresses)
The Gymnasts/National Team included in the Testing Pool shall provide the information on a regular basis, by the relevant deadline communicated by the FIG. The collecting of whereabouts may be coordinated with the National Federation and the National Anti-Doping Organisation and the FIG may delegate the responsibility to collect Testing Pool Gymnast Whereabouts Information to its National Federations.

More information about FIG Testing Pools and the current whereabouts requirements can be found on the FIG website.

Every National Federation shall report to FIG the relevant contact details (names, postal and email addresses etc.) of all Gymnasts identified to be part of the Testing Pool established by FIG.

Failure by National Federations to respect the obligations prescribed above may result in a sanction under FIG’s disciplinary rules/code of conduct. National Federations will be sanctioned with a fine in an amount up to $ 5000 U.S. Dollars.

5.7 Selection of Gymnasts to be tested

5.7.1 At its International Competitions or Events, FIG shall determine the number of finishing tests, random tests and target tests to be performed. The actual distribution of the controls, the number of the controls and the designation of the individual Gymnasts or teams should be established, depending on the type of Event and of discipline, by total or partial draw, by designation (Target Testing), by the finishing placements or at random and may also be performed at training sessions held before or in between competitions.

5.7.2 In order to ensure that Testing is conducted on a No Advance Notice Testing basis, the Gymnast selection decisions shall only disclosed in advance of Testing to those who need to know in order for such Testing to be conducted.

5.8 In-Competition Testing

5.8.1 Upon selection of a Gymnast for Doping Control during a Competition, the following procedures shall be followed.

5.8.2 The official responsible for notifying the Gymnast for Doping Control (whether the Doping Control Officer (DCO) or Chaperone) shall write the name of the Gymnast on the official Notification form and present it to the Gymnast, as discreetly as possible, immediately after the Gymnast has completed his Competition. The Gymnast shall sign to confirm receipt of the Notification and retain a copy. The time of signing shall be recorded on the form. The Gymnast must stay in view of the Chaperone until reporting to the Doping Control Station.

5.8.3 If a Gymnast refuses to sign the Notification form, the Chaperone shall immediately report this to the Doping Control Officer who shall make every effort to inform the Gymnast of his obligation to undergo doping control and the consequences of his not submitting himself to the control. If the Gymnast fails or refuses to sign this notice or fails to report to the Doping Control Station as required, the Gymnast shall be deemed to have refused to submit to doping control
for the purpose of Articles 2.3 and 10.3.1 of these rules. Even if the Gymnast indicates reluctance to report to the Doping Control Station, the Chaperone shall keep the Gymnast in view until there is no question that the Gymnast has refused to submit to Doping Control.

5.8.4 The Gymnast is required to report immediately to the Doping Control Station, unless there is a valid reason for a delay, as determined in accordance with Article 5.8.8.

5.8.5 The Gymnast shall be entitled to be accompanied to the Doping Control Station by (i) a competition-accredited representative from his National Federation, and (ii) an interpreter if required.

5.8.6 Minor Athletes shall be entitled to be accompanied by a representative, but the representative cannot directly observe the passing of the urine Sample unless requested to do so by the Minor.

5.8.7 The Gymnast must show a valid identification document at the Doping Control Station. The Gymnast's time of arrival at the Doping Control Station shall be recorded on the doping control form.

5.8.8 The Gymnast has the right to ask the DCO or Chaperone for permission to delay reporting to the Doping Control Station and/or to leave the Doping Control Station temporarily after arrival, but the request may be granted only if the Gymnast can be continuously chaperoned and kept under direct observation during the delay, and if the request relates to the following activities:
   a. Participation in a presentation ceremony;
   b. Fulfilment of media commitments;
   c. Competing in further Competitions;
   d. Performing a warm down;
   e. Obtaining necessary medical treatment;
   f. Locating a representative and/or interpreter;
   g. Obtaining photo identification; or
   h. Any other reasonable circumstances as determined by the DCO, taking into account any instructions of FIG or other Testing Authority with jurisdiction at an Event.

5.8.9 Only the following Persons may be present in the Doping Control Station:
   a. The Doping Control Officer/s and the Chaperone/s.
   b. Staff assigned to the station
   c. Authorized interpreters
   d. The Gymnasts selected for Doping Control and their representative
   e. The WADA’s Independent Observer

The news media shall not be admitted to the Doping Control Station. The doors of the station must not be left open. No photography or filming shall be permitted in the Doping Control Station during the hours of operation.

Out-of-Competition Testing
5.8.10 Out-Of-Competition Testing may be conducted by FIG, WADA or a National Anti-Doping Organization (or agencies appointed by them) at any time or location in any member country. This Testing shall be carried out without any advance notice to the Gymnast or his National Federation. Every Gymnast affiliated with a National Federation is obliged to undergo Out-of-Competition Testing as decided by the FIG, WADA or the National Anti-Doping Organization.

5.9 Procedures

5.9.1 The Testing procedure shall be in conformity with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. The article below provides information on procedure for the collection of Samples under the jurisdiction of FIG at FIG Competitions and Event and also for Out-of-Competition Testing. In the event of any conflict with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall prevail.

5.9.2 Each Gymnast asked to provide a Sample shall also provide information on an official Doping Control Form. The Gymnast’s name, post and email addresses, his country, telephone numbers, the code number of the Sample and the event identification will be entered into the form. The Gymnast shall declare any medication and nutritional supplements that he/she has used in the preceding seven (7) days. The form shall also provide the names of the people present at the Doping Control Station involved with the obtaining of the Sample, including the Doping Control Officer (DCO) in charge of the station. Any irregularities must be registered on the form. The form shall include at least four copies for distribution as follows:

a. a copy to be retained by the DCO for forwarding to the FIG Office by the day after the Competition;
b. a copy to be given to the Gymnast;
c. a special copy to be sent to the Laboratory which is to conduct the analysis - this laboratory copy must be so designed that it does not contain any information which could identify the Gymnast who provided the Sample;
d. an extra copy, for distribution as the FIG deems appropriate and in accordance with the International Standard for Protection of Privacy and Personal Information.

5.9.3 The Gymnast shall select a sealed collection vessel from a number of such vessels, visually check that it is empty and clean, and proceed to provide the required amount of urine established in the International Standard for Testing and Investigation under the direct supervision of, and within the view of, the DCO or appropriate official (Chaperone/s) who shall be of the same gender as the Gymnast.

Sample Collection Equipment systems shall, at a minimum, meet the following criteria. They shall:

a. Have a unique numbering system incorporated into all bottles, containers, tubes or other items used to seal the Sample;
b. Have a sealing system that is tamper-evident;
c. Ensure the identity of the Gymnast is not evident from the equipment itself; and
d. Ensure that all equipment is clean and sealed prior to use by the Gymnast.
To ensure authenticity of the Sample, the DCO and/or Chaperone will require such disrobing as is necessary to confirm the urine is produced by the Gymnast. No one other than the Gymnast and the person authorized by these rules shall be present when the urine sample is collected. Blood Testing may be performed prior to, after or instead of a urine Sample.

5.9.4 The Gymnast shall remain in the Doping Control Station until he or she has fulfilled the duty to pass an adequate quantity of urine. If the Gymnast is unable to provide the required amount, the urine which is collected shall be sealed in a container and the seal shall be broken when the Gymnast is ready to provide more urine. The Gymnast may be required to retain custody of the sealed container while waiting to provide more urine.

5.9.5 When the Gymnast has provided the required volume of urine, he or she shall select from a number of such kits a sealed urine control kit, containing two containers for Samples A and B. The Gymnast shall check to be sure the containers are empty and clean.

5.9.6 The Gymnast, or his representative, shall pour approximately two-thirds of the urine from the collection vessel into the A bottle and one-third into the B bottle which are then sealed as provided for in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. Having closed both bottles the Gymnast shall check that no leakage can occur. The DCO may, with permission of the Gymnast, assist the Gymnast with the procedures in this article. The Gymnast must also verify at each step in the Doping Control procedure that each bottle has the same code and that this is the same code as entered on the Doping Control Form.

5.9.7 The DCO should continue to collect additional Samples until the requirement for Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis is met, or until the DCO determines that there are exceptional circumstances which mean that for logistical reasons it is impossible to continue with the Sample Collection Session. Such exceptional circumstances shall be documented accordingly by the DCO.

5.9.8 The Gymnast shall certify, by signing the Doping Control Form (see art. 5.10.2), that the entire process has been performed in compliance with the procedures outlined above. The Gymnast shall also record any irregularities or procedural deviations he/she identifies. Any irregularities or procedural deviations identified by the Gymnast's accredited representative (if present), the DCO, or station staff shall be recorded on the form. The form will also be signed by the Gymnast's accredited representative (if present).

5.9.9 The accumulation of Samples may take place over time before dispatch to the laboratory. During this time, the Samples must be kept secure. If there is prolonged delay in dispatching the Samples to the laboratory, storage in a cool, secure place is necessary to ensure no possible deterioration could occur. The DCO should detail and documenting the location where Samples are stored and who has custody of the Samples and/or is permitted access to the Samples.

5.9.10 At FIG International Events, the National Federation and/or the Organizing Committee must ensure that a Doping Control Station reasonably separated from public activities with the following minimum requirements is set up for the event:
- one (1) private room (“Doping Control Station”) exclusively dedicated for use by the DCO and doping control personnel with one (1) table, two (2) chairs, pens and paper, and one (1) lockable refrigerator; and
- a waiting room/area with a suitable number of chairs as well as an appropriate amount of individually sealed, non-caffeinated and non-alcoholic beverages, which includes a mix of natural mineral water and soft drinks; and
- one (1) private, clean and equipped bathroom/toilet, adjacent or as near as possible to the Doping Control Station and waiting area.

5.9.11 The National Federation and/or the Organizing Committee must also ensure that at least one (1) staff member is designated who is able to act as point-of-contact and support for the Doping Control Officer/s (DCOs) and the Chaperone/s during the Doping Control mission, with the contact name and details of this staff member to be communicated to the FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate at least four (4) weeks prior to the starting date of the Event. Prior to the Event, the FIG Anti-Doping administrator and/or its delegate may communicate to the National Federation and/or the Organizing Committee a specific number of Chaperones. The National Federation and/or Organizing Committee shall accordingly be required to provide the number of Chaperones so requested.

5.10 Additional Procedures related to the collection of Samples while Out-Of-Competition.

5.10.1 When a Gymnast has been selected for No Advance Notice Testing, the DCO will arrive unannounced at the Gymnast’s training camp, accommodation or any other place where the Gymnast may be found. The DCO shall show proof of identity and provide a copy of his letter of authority. The DCO shall also require proof of identity of the Gymnast. The actual collection of the Sample shall be in accordance with the International Standard for Testing and Investigation.

5.10.2 As the DCO’s arrival is with No Advance Notice, he should give the Gymnast reasonable time to complete any reasonable activity in which he is engaged under the observation of the DCO, but Testing should commence as soon as possible.

5.10.3 Each Gymnast selected for Out-Of-Competition Testing shall complete a Doping Control Form similar to the form described in article 5.10.1.

5.10.4 If the Gymnast refuses to provide a urine Sample, the DCO shall note this on the Doping Control Form, sign his name on the form and ask the Gymnast to sign the form. The DCO shall also note any other irregularities in the Doping Control process.

5.10.5 The nature of Out-of-Competition Doping Control requires that no prior warning is given to the Gymnast. Every effort will be made by the DCO to collect the Sample speedily and efficiently with the minimum of interruption to the Gymnast’s training, social or work arrangements. If there is an interruption, however, no Gymnast may take action to gain compensation for any inconvenience or other loss incurred. Furthermore, any interruption for Testing shall not be a defense to an anti-doping rule violation based on such test or attempted test.
In the event that there is any conflict between this Article and the provisions of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall prevail.

5.11 Retired Gymnasts Returning to Competition

5.11.1 A Gymnast in FIG’s Registered Testing Pool who has given notice of retirement to FIG may not resume competing in International Events or National Events until he/she has given FIG written notice of his/her intent to resume competing and has made him/herself available for Testing for a period of six months before returning to Competition, including (if requested) complying with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. WADA, in consultation with FIG and the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization, may grant an exemption to the six-month written notice rule where the strict application of that rule would be manifestly unfair to a Gymnast. This decision may be appealed under Article 13. Any competitive results obtained in violation of this Article 5.11.1 shall be Disqualified.

In the case that a Gymnast resumes competing without respecting the six months written notice requirement, FIG may sanction the Gymnast under FIG’s disciplinary rules/code of conduct except agreement for exception.

5.11.2 If a Gymnast retires from sport while subject to a period of Ineligibility, the Gymnast shall not resume competing in International Events or National Events until the Gymnast has given six months prior written notice (or notice equivalent to the period of Ineligibility remaining as of the date the Gymnast retired, if that period was longer than six months) to FIG and to his/her National Anti-Doping Organization of his/her intent to resume competing and has made him/herself available for Testing for that notice period, including (if requested) complying with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.
5.12 **Independent Observer Program**

FIG and the organizing committees for FIG’s Events, as well as the National Federations and the organizing committees for National Events, shall authorize and facilitate the Independent Observer Program at such Events.

**ARTICLE 6 ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES**

Samples shall be analyzed in accordance with the following principles:

6.1 **Use of Accredited and Approved Laboratories**

For purposes of Article 2.1, Samples shall be analyzed only in laboratories accredited or otherwise approved by WADA. The choice of the WADA-accredited or WADA-approved laboratory used for the Sample analysis shall be determined exclusively by FIG.

6.2 **Purpose of Analysis of Samples**

6.2.1 Samples shall be analyzed to detect Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods and other substances as may be directed by WADA pursuant to the Monitoring Program described in Article 4.5 of the Code; or to assist FIG in profiling relevant parameters in a Gymnast’s urine, blood or other matrix, including DNA or genomic profiling; or for any other legitimate anti-doping purpose. Samples may be collected and stored for future analysis.

6.2.2 FIG shall ask laboratories to analyze Samples in conformity with Article 6.4 of the Code and Article 4.7 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

6.3 **Research on Samples**

No Sample may be used for research without the Gymnast’s written consent. Samples used for purposes other than Article 6.2 shall have any means of identification removed such that they cannot be traced back to a particular Gymnast.

6.4 **Standards for Sample Analysis and Reporting**

Laboratories shall analyze Samples and report results in conformity with the International Standard for Laboratories. To ensure effective Testing, the Technical Document referenced at Article 5.4.1 of the Code will establish risk assessment-based Sample analysis menus appropriate for particular sports and sport disciplines, and laboratories shall analyze Samples in conformity with those menus, except as follows:

6.4.1 FIG may request that laboratories analyze its Samples using more extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document.

6.4.2 FIG may request that laboratories analyze its Samples using less extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document only if it has satisfied WADA that, because of the particular circumstances of its sport, as set out in its test distribution plan, less extensive analysis would be appropriate.
6.4.3 As provided in the International Standard for Laboratories, laboratories at their own initiative and expense may analyze Samples for Prohibited Substances or Prohibited Methods not included on the Sample analysis menu described in the Technical Document or specified by the Testing authority. Results from any such analysis shall be reported and have the same validity and consequence as any other analytical result.

6.5 Further Analysis of Samples

Any Sample may be stored and subsequently subjected to further analysis for the purposes set out in Article 6.2: (a) by WADA at any time; and/or (b) by FIG at any time before both the A and B Sample analytical results (or A Sample result where B Sample analysis has been waived or will not be performed) have been communicated by FIG to the Gymnast as the asserted basis for an Article 2.1 anti-doping rule violation. Such further analysis of Samples shall conform with the requirements of the International Standard for Laboratories and the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

ARTICLE 7 RESULTS MANAGEMENT

7.1 Responsibility for Conducting Results Management

7.1.1 The circumstances in which FIG shall take responsibility for conducting results management in respect of anti-doping rule violations involving Gymnasts and other Persons under its jurisdiction shall be determined by reference to and in accordance with Article 7 of the Code.

7.1.2 The FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate will conduct the review discussed in Articles 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6. The review prescribed in Article 7.7 should be conducted by a FIG Disciplinary Commission consisting of a Chair (who may be the FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate) and at least 2 other members with experience in anti-doping.

7.2 Review of Adverse Analytical Findings From Tests Initiated by FIG

Results management in respect of the results of tests initiated by FIG (including tests performed by WADA pursuant to agreement with FIG) shall proceed as follows:

7.2.1 The results from all analyses must be sent to FIG in encoded form, in a report signed by an authorized representative of the laboratory. All communication must be conducted confidentially and in conformity with ADAMS.

7.2.2 Upon receipt of an Adverse Analytical Finding, FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) an applicable TUE has been granted or will be granted as provided in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding.
7.2.3 If the review of an Adverse Analytical Finding under Article 7.2.2 reveals an applicable TUE or departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, the entire test shall be considered negative and the Gymnast, the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be so informed.

7.3 Notification After Review Regarding Adverse Analytical Findings

7.3.1 If the review of an Adverse Analytical Finding under Article 7.2.2 does not reveal an applicable TUE or entitlement to a TUE as provided in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate shall promptly notify the Gymnast, and simultaneously the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA, in the manner set out in Article 14.1, of: (a) the Adverse Analytical Finding; (b) the anti-doping rule violated; (c) the Gymnast’s right to promptly request the analysis of the B Sample or, failing such request, that the B Sample analysis may be deemed waived; (d) the scheduled date, time and place for the B Sample analysis if the Gymnast or FIG chooses to request an analysis of the B Sample; (e) the opportunity for the Gymnast and/or the Gymnast’s representative to attend the B Sample opening and analysis in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories if such analysis is requested; and (f) the Gymnast’s right to request copies of the A and B Sample laboratory documentation package which includes information as required by the International Standard for Laboratories; (g) the Gymnast’s right to request a hearing or, failing such request within the deadline specified in the notification, that the hearing may be deemed waived; (h) the opportunity for the Gymnast to provide written explanation about the overall circumstances of the case or to dispute (within a specific deadline indicated in the notification) the FIG assertion that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred (i) the imposition of a mandatory provisional suspension (in case described in Article 7.9.1) (j) the imposition of the optional provisional suspension in cases where FIG decides to impose it in accordance with Article 7.9.2 (k) the opportunity to accept voluntarily a Provisional Suspension pending the resolution of the matter, in all cases where a Provisional Suspension has not been imposed (l) the Gymnast’s opportunity to promptly admit the anti-doping rule violation and consequently request the reduction in the period of ineligibility as described in Article 10.6.3 (m) the Gymnast’s opportunity to cooperate and provide Substantial Assistance in discovering or establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations as described in Article 10.6.1. If FIG decides not to bring forward the Adverse Analytical Finding as an anti-doping rule violation, it shall so notify the Gymnast, the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA.

7.3.2 Where requested by the Gymnast or FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate, arrangements shall be made to analyze the B Sample in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories. A Gymnast may accept the A Sample analytical results by waiving the requirement for B Sample analysis. FIG may nonetheless elect to proceed with the B Sample analysis.

7.3.3 The Gymnast and/or his representative shall be allowed to be present at the analysis of the B Sample. Also, a representative of FIG as well as a
representative of the Gymnast’s National Federation shall be allowed to be present.

7.3.4 If the B Sample analysis does not confirm the A Sample analysis, then (unless FIG takes the case forward as an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.2) the entire test shall be considered negative and the Gymnast, the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be so informed.

7.3.5 If the B Sample analysis confirms the A Sample analysis, the findings shall be reported to the Gymnast, the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and to WADA.

7.4 Review of Atypical Findings

7.4.1 As provided in the International Standard for Laboratories, in some circumstances laboratories are directed to report the presence of Prohibited Substances, which may also be produced endogenously, as Atypical Findings, i.e., as findings that are subject to further investigation.

7.4.2 Upon receipt of an Atypical Finding, FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) an applicable TUE has been granted or will be granted as provided in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding.

7.4.3 If the review of an Atypical Finding under Article 7.4.2 reveals an applicable TUE or a departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding, the entire test shall be considered negative and the Gymnast, the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be so informed.

7.4.4 If that review does not reveal an applicable TUE or a departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding, FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate shall conduct the required investigation or cause it to be conducted. After the investigation is completed, either the Atypical Finding will be brought forward as an Adverse Analytical Finding, in accordance with Article 7.3.1, or else the Gymnast, the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be notified that the Atypical Finding will not be brought forward as an Adverse Analytical Finding.

7.4.5 FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate will not provide notice of an Atypical Finding until it has completed its investigation and has decided whether it will bring the Atypical Finding forward as an Adverse Analytical Finding unless one of the following circumstances exists:

7.4.5.1 If FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate determines the B Sample should be analyzed prior to the conclusion of its investigation, it may conduct the B Sample analysis after notifying the Gymnast, with such notice to include a description of the Atypical Finding and the information described in Article 7.3.1(d)-(f).
7.4.5.2 If FIG is asked (a) by a Major Event Organization shortly before one of its International Events, or (b) by a sport organization responsible for meeting an imminent deadline for selecting team members for an International Event, to disclose whether any Gymnast identified on a list provided by the Major Event Organization or sport organization has a pending Atypical Finding, FIG shall so advise the Major Event Organization or sports organization after first providing notice of the Atypical Finding to the Gymnast.

7.5 Review of Atypical Passport Findings and Adverse Passport Findings

Review of Atypical Passport Findings and Adverse Passport Findings shall take place as provided in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and International Standard for Laboratories. At such time as FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate is satisfied that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the Gymnast (and simultaneously the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA) notice of the anti-doping rule violation asserted and the basis of that assertion.

7.6 Review of Whereabouts Failures

The FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate shall review potential filing failures and missed tests, as defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, in respect of Gymnasts who file their whereabouts information with FIG, in accordance with Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. At such time as the FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate is satisfied that an Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the Gymnast (and simultaneously the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA) notice that it is asserting a violation of Article 2.4 and the basis of that assertion.

7.7 Review of Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations Not Covered by Articles 7.2–7.6

The FIG Disciplinary Commission shall conduct any follow-up investigation required into a possible anti-doping rule violation not covered by Articles 7.2-7.6. At such time as the FIG Disciplinary Commission is satisfied that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the Gymnast or other Person (and simultaneously the Gymnast’s or other Person’s National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA) notice of the anti-doping rule violation asserted and the basis of that assertion.

7.8 Identification of Prior Anti-Doping Rule Violations

Before giving a Gymnast or other Person notice of an asserted anti-doping rule violation as provided above, FIG shall refer to ADAMS and contact WADA and other relevant Anti-Doping Organizations to determine whether any prior anti-doping rule violation exists.

7.9 Provisional Suspensions

7.9.1 Mandatory Provisional Suspension: If analysis of an A Sample has resulted in an Adverse Analytical Finding for a Prohibited Substance that is not a Specified Substance, or for a Prohibited Method, and a review in accordance with Article 7.2.2 does not reveal an applicable TUE or departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for
Laboratories that caused the *Adverse Analytical Finding*, a *Provisional Suspension* shall be imposed upon or promptly after the notification described in Articles 7.2, 7.3 or 7.5.

### 7.9.2 Optional Provisional Suspension:*

In case of an *Adverse Analytical Finding* for a *Specified Substance*, or in the case of any other anti-doping rule violations not covered by Article 7.9.1, FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate may impose a *Provisional Suspension* on the Gymnast or other Person against whom the anti-doping rule violation is asserted at any time after the review and notification described in Articles 7.2–7.7 and prior to the final hearing as described in Article 8.

### 7.9.3 Where a Provisional Suspension is imposed pursuant to Article 7.9.1 or Article 7.9.2, the Gymnast or other Person shall be given either: (a) an opportunity for a *Provisional Hearing* either before or on a timely basis after imposition of the *Provisional Suspension*, upon request by the Gymnast or other Person; or (b) an opportunity for an expedited final hearing in accordance with Article 8 on a timely basis after imposition of the *Provisional Suspension*. Where the Gymnast or other Person requests a *Provisional Hearing*, the FIG Disciplinary Commission will be an ad-hoc panel appointed by FIG. The FIG Disciplinary Commission is composed of three members (one Chair and two members) with experience in anti-doping. Furthermore, the Gymnast or other Person has a right to appeal from the *Provisional Suspension* in accordance with Article 13.2 (save as set out in Article 7.9.3.1).

*7.9.3.1 The Provisional Suspension may be lifted if the Gymnast or other Person demonstrates to the FIG Disciplinary Commission that the violation is likely to have involved a Contaminated Product. A FIG Disciplinary Commission’s decision not to lift a mandatory Provisional Suspension on account of the Gymnast’s assertion regarding a Contaminated Product shall not be appealable.*

*7.9.3.2 The Provisional Suspension shall be imposed (or shall not be lifted) unless the Gymnast or other Person establishes that: (a) the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has no reasonable prospect of being upheld, e.g., because of a patent flaw in the case against the Gymnast or other Person; or (b) the Gymnast or other Person has a strong arguable case that he/she bears No Fault or Negligence for the anti-doping rule violation(s) asserted, so that any period of Ineligibility that might otherwise be imposed for such a violation is likely to be completely eliminated by application of Article 10.4; or (c) some other facts exist that make it clearly unfair, in all of the circumstances, to impose a Provisional Suspension prior to a final hearing in accordance with Article 8. This ground is to be construed narrowly, and applied only in truly exceptional circumstances. For example, the fact that the Provisional Suspension would prevent the Gymnast or other Person participating in a particular Competition or Event shall not qualify as exceptional circumstances for these purposes.*

### 7.9.4 If a Provisional Suspension is imposed based on an A Sample Adverse Analytical Finding and subsequent analysis of the B Sample does not confirm the A Sample analysis, then the Gymnast shall not be subject to any further Provisional Suspension on account of a violation of Article 2.1. In circumstances where the
Gymnast (or the Gymnast’s team) has been removed from a Competition based on a violation of Article 2.1 and the subsequent B Sample analysis does not confirm the A Sample finding, then if it is still possible for the Gymnast or team to be reinserted, without otherwise affecting the Competition, the Gymnast or team may continue to take part in the Competition. In addition, the Gymnast or team may thereafter take part in other Competitions in the same Event.

7.9.5 In all cases where a Gymnast or other Person has been notified of an anti-doping rule violation but a Provisional Suspension has not been imposed on him or her, the Gymnast or other Person shall be offered the opportunity to accept a Provisional Suspension voluntarily pending the resolution of the matter.

7.10 Resolution Without a Hearing

7.10.1 Agreement between parties

At any time during the results management process the Gymnast or other Person may agree with FIG on the Consequences which are either mandated by the Code or which the FIG Secretary General or its delegate considers appropriate where discretion as to Consequences exists under these Rules and the Code. The agreement shall state the full reasons for any period of Ineligibility agreed upon, including (if applicable) a justification for why the discretion as to Consequences was applied.

Such agreement shall be deemed to be a decision made under these Anti-Doping Rules within the meaning of Article 13. The decision will be reported to the parties with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided in Article 14.2.2 and shall be published in accordance with Article 14.3.2.

7.10.2 Waiver of hearing

A Gymnast or other Person against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted may waive a hearing expressly.

Alternatively, if the Gymnast or other Person against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted fails to request the hearing and/or to dispute that assertion within the deadline specified in the notice sent by the FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate asserting the violation, then he/she shall be deemed to have waived a hearing.

7.10.3 Process in case of Gymnast’s waiving of hearing

In cases where Article 7.10.2 applies, a hearing before a FIG Disciplinary Commission shall not be required. Instead, FIG’s Doping Administrator or its delegate will refer the case to the FIG Disciplinary Commission for adjudication, transmitting all the available documents of the case.

The FIG Disciplinary Commission is composed by at least three members (one Chair and two members) nominated by FIG.

The FIG Disciplinary Commission shall promptly issue a written decision (in accordance with Article 8.2) about the commission of the anti-doping rule violation
and the Consequences imposed as a result, and setting out the full reasons for any period of Ineligibility imposed, including (if applicable) a justification for why the maximum potential period of Ineligibility was not imposed. The FIG shall send copies of that decision to other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3, and shall Publicly Disclose that decision in accordance with Article 14.3.2.

7.11 Notification of Results Management Decisions

In all cases where FIG has asserted the commission of an anti-doping rule violation, withdrawn the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation, imposed a Provisional Suspension, or agreed with a Gymnast or other Person on the imposition of Consequences without a hearing, FIG shall give notice thereof in accordance with Article 14.2.1 to other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3.

7.12 Retirement from Sport

If a Gymnast or other Person retires while FIG is conducting the results management process, FIG retains jurisdiction to complete its results management process. If a Gymnast or other Person retires before any results management process has begun, and FIG would have had results management authority over the Gymnast or other Person at the time the Gymnast or other Person committed an anti-doping rule violation, FIG has authority to conduct results management in respect of that anti-doping rule violation.

ARTICLE 8 RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING

8.1 Principles for a Fair Hearing

8.1.1 When FIG sends a notice to a Gymnast or other Person asserting an anti-doping rule violation, and there is no agreement in accordance with Article 7.10.1 or the Gymnast or other Person does not waive a hearing in accordance with Article 7.10.2, then the case shall be referred to the FIG Disciplinary Commission for hearing and adjudication.

8.1.2 Hearings shall be scheduled and completed within a reasonable time. Where a Provisional Suspension has been imposed or otherwise accepted by the Gymnast or other Person, the hearings should be expedited. In all cases the hearing should be held within 90 days from the notification of the Gymnast or other Person that an anti-doping rule violation is being asserted. Hearings held in connection with Events that are subject to these Anti-Doping Rules may be conducted by an expedited process where permitted by the FIG Disciplinary Commission.

8.1.3 The FIG Disciplinary Commission shall determine the procedure to be followed at the hearing.

The hearing process shall respect the following principles:

a. the right of each party to be represented by counsel (at the party’s own expenses) or to be accompanied by a Person chosen by each party;
b. the right to respond to the asserted anti-doping rule violation and make submissions with respect to the resulting Consequences;

c. the right of each party to present evidence, including the right to call and question witnesses; and,

d. the Gymnast’s or other Person’s right to an interpreter at the hearing.

The FIG Disciplinary Commission shall have jurisdiction to determine which party shall bear the responsibility for the cost of the interpreter.

8.1.4 **WADA** and the National Federation of the Gymnast or other Person may attend the hearing as observers. In any event, FIG shall keep WADA fully apprised as to the status of pending cases and the result of all hearings.

8.1.5 The FIG Disciplinary Commission shall act in a fair and impartial manner towards all parties at all times.

### 8.2 Decisions

8.2.1 The FIG Disciplinary Commission shall issue a written decision within 30 days from the date of the end of the hearing or from the date the case has been referred to the FIG Disciplinary Commission when the hearing has been waived in accordance with Article 7.10.2. The decision shall include the full reasons for the decision and for any period of Ineligibility imposed, including (if applicable) a justification for why the greatest potential Consequences were not imposed.

The decision shall be written in French or English.

8.2.2 The decision may be appealed to the **CAS** as provided in Article 13. Copies of the decision shall be provided to the Gymnast or other Person and to other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3.

8.2.3 If no appeal is brought against the decision, then (a) if the decision is that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, the decision shall be **Publicly Disclosed** as provided in Article 14.3.2; but (b) if the decision is that no anti-doping rule violation was committed, then the decision shall only be **Publicly Disclosed** with the consent of the Gymnast or other Person who is the subject of the decision. FIG shall use reasonable efforts to obtain such consent, and if consent is obtained, shall **Publicly Disclose** the decision in its entirety or in such redacted form as the Gymnast or other Person may approve.

The principles contained at Article 14.3.6 shall be applied in cases involving a **Minor**.

### 8.3 Single Hearing Before CAS

Cases asserting anti-doping rule violations may be heard directly at CAS, with no requirement for a prior hearing, with the consent of the Gymnast, FIG, WADA, and any other Anti-Doping Organization that would have had a right to appeal a first instance hearing decision to CAS.
ARTICLE 9  AUTOMATIC *DISQUALIFICATION* OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS

An anti-doping rule violation in *Individual Sports* in connection with an *In-Competition* test automatically leads to *Disqualification* of the result obtained in that *Competition* with all resulting *Consequences*, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

ARTICLE 10  SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS

10.1  *Disqualification* of Results in the *Event* during which an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Occurs

An anti-doping rule violation occurring during or in connection with an *Event* may, upon the decision of the ruling body of the *Event*, lead to *Disqualification* of all of the *Gymnast's* individual results obtained in that *Event* with all *Consequences*, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 10.1.1.

Factors to be included in considering whether to *Disqualify* other results in an *Event* might include, for example, the seriousness of the *Gymnast's* anti-doping rule violation and whether the *Gymnast* tested negative in the other *Competitions*.

10.1.1  If the *Gymnast* establishes that he or she bears *No Fault or Negligence* for the violation, the *Gymnast's* individual results in the other *Competitions* shall not be *Disqualified*, unless the *Gymnast's* results in *Competitions* other than the *Competition* in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have been affected by the *Gymnast's* anti-doping rule violation.

10.2  *Ineligibility* for Presence, Use or Attempted Use, or Possession of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*

The period of *Ineligibility* for a violation of Articles 2.1, 2.2 or 2.6 shall be as follows, subject to potential reduction or suspension pursuant to Articles 10.4, 10.5 or 10.6:

10.2.1  The period of *Ineligibility* shall be four years where:

10.2.1.1  The anti-doping rule violation does not involve a *Specified Substance*, unless the *Gymnast* or other *Person* can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional.

10.2.1.2  The anti-doping rule violation involves a *Specified Substance* and FIG can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was intentional.

10.2.2  If Article 10.2.1 does not apply, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be two years.

10.2.3  As used in Articles 10.2 and 10.3, the term “intentional” is meant to identify those *Gymnasts* who cheat. The term therefore requires that the *Gymnast* or other *Person* engaged in conduct which he or she knew constituted an anti-doping rule violation or knew that there was a significant risk that the conduct might constitute or result in an anti-doping rule violation and manifestly disregarded that risk. An anti-doping rule violation resulting from an *Adverse Analytical Finding* for a substance which is only prohibited *In-Competition* shall be rebuttably presumed to be not intentional if the substance is a *Specified Substance* and the *Gymnast* can establish that the *Prohibited Substance* was *Used Out-of-Competition*. An anti-
doping rule violation resulting from an Adverse Analytical Finding for a substance which is only prohibited In-Competition shall not be considered intentional if the substance is not a Specified Substance and the Gymnast can establish that the Prohibited Substance was Used Out-of-Competition in a context unrelated to sport performance.

10.3 Ineligibility for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The period of Ineligibility for anti-doping rule violations other than as provided in Article 10.2 shall be as follows, unless Articles 10.5 or 10.6 are applicable:

10.3.1 For violations of Article 2.3 or Article 2.5, the period of Ineligibility shall be four years unless, in the case of failing to submit to Sample collection, the Gymnast can establish that the commission of the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional (as defined in Article 10.2.3), in which case the period of Ineligibility shall be two years.

10.3.2 For violations of Article 2.4, the period of Ineligibility shall be two years, subject to reduction down to a minimum of one year, depending on the Gymnast’s degree of Fault. The flexibility between two years and one year of Ineligibility in this Article is not available to Gymnasts where a pattern of last-minute whereabouts changes or other conduct raises a serious suspicion that the Gymnast was trying to avoid being available for Testing.

10.3.3 For violations of Article 2.7 or 2.8, the period of Ineligibility shall be a minimum of four years up to lifetime Ineligibility, depending on the seriousness of the violation. An Article 2.7 or Article 2.8 violation involving a Minor shall be considered a particularly serious violation and, if committed by Gymnast Support Personnel for violations other than for Specified Substances, shall result in lifetime Ineligibility for Gymnast Support Personnel. In addition, significant violations of Article 2.7 or 2.8 which may also violate non-sporting laws and regulations, shall be reported to the competent administrative, professional or judicial authorities.

10.3.4 For violations of Article 2.9, the period of Ineligibility imposed shall be a minimum of two years, up to four years, depending on the seriousness of the violation.

10.3.5 For violations of Article 2.10, the period of Ineligibility shall be two years, subject to reduction down to a minimum of one year, depending on the Gymnast or other Person’s degree of Fault and other circumstances of the case.

10.4 Elimination of the Period of Ineligibility where there is No Fault or Negligence

If a Gymnast or other Person establishes in an individual case that he or she bears No Fault or Negligence, then the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be eliminated.

10.5 Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility based on No Significant Fault or Negligence

10.5.1 Reduction of Sanctions for Specified Substances or Contaminated Products for Violations of Article 2.1, 2.2 or 2.6.
10.5.1.1 Specified Substances

Where the anti-doping rule violation involves a Specified Substance, and the Gymnast or other Person can establish No Significant Fault or Negligence, then the period of Ineligibility shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of Ineligibility, and at a maximum, two years of Ineligibility, depending on the Gymnast’s or other Person’s degree of Fault.

10.5.1.2 Contaminated Products

In cases where the Gymnast or other Person can establish No Significant Fault or Negligence and that the detected Prohibited Substance came from a Contaminated Product, then the period of Ineligibility shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of Ineligibility, and at a maximum, two years Ineligibility, depending on the Gymnast’s or other Person’s degree of Fault.

10.5.2 Application of No Significant Fault or Negligence beyond the Application of Article 10.5.1

If a Gymnast or other Person establishes in an individual case where Article 10.5.1 is not applicable that he or she bears No Significant Fault or Negligence, then, subject to further reduction or elimination as provided in Article 10.6, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be reduced based on the Gymnast or other Person’s degree of Fault, but the reduced period of Ineligibility may not be less than one-half of the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the reduced period under this Article may be no less than eight years.

10.6 Elimination, Reduction, or Suspension of Period of Ineligibility or other Consequences for Reasons Other than Fault

10.6.1 Substantial Assistance in Discovering or Establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations

10.6.1.1 FIG may, prior to a final appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of the time to appeal, suspend a part of the period of Ineligibility imposed in an individual case in which it has results management authority where the Gymnast or other Person has provided Substantial Assistance to an Anti-Doping Organization, criminal authority or professional disciplinary body which results in: (i) the Anti-Doping Organization discovering or bringing forward an anti-doping rule violation by another Person, or (ii) which results in a criminal or disciplinary body discovering or bringing forward a criminal offense or the breach of professional rules committed by another Person and the information provided by the Person providing Substantial Assistance is made available to FIG. After a final appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of time to appeal, FIG may only suspend a part of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility with the approval of WADA. The extent to which the otherwise applicable
period of Ineligibility may be suspended shall be based on the seriousness of the anti-doping rule violation committed by the Gymnast or other Person and the significance of the Substantial Assistance provided by the Gymnast or other Person to the effort to eliminate doping in sport. No more than three-quarters of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be suspended. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the non-suspended period under this Article must be no less than eight years. If the Gymnast or other Person fails to continue to cooperate and to provide the complete and credible Substantial Assistance upon which a suspension of the period of Ineligibility was based, FIG shall reinstate the original period of Ineligibility. If FIG decides to reinstate a suspended period of Ineligibility or decides not to reinstate a suspended period of Ineligibility, that decision may be appealed by any Person entitled to appeal under Article 13.

10.6.1.2 To further encourage Gymnasts and other Persons to provide Substantial Assistance to Anti-Doping Organizations, at the request of FIG or at the request of the Gymnast or other Person who has (or has been asserted to have) committed an anti-doping rule violation, WADA may agree at any stage of the results management process, including after a final appellate decision under Article 13, to what it considers to be an appropriate suspension of the otherwise-applicable period of Ineligibility and other Consequences. In exceptional circumstances, WADA may agree to suspensions of the period of Ineligibility and other Consequences for Substantial Assistance greater than those otherwise provided in this Article, or even no period of Ineligibility, and/or no return of prize money or payment of fines or costs. WADA’s approval shall be subject to reinstatement of sanction, as otherwise provided in this Article. Notwithstanding Article 13, WADA’s decisions in the context of this Article may not be appealed by any other Anti-Doping Organization.

10.6.1.3 If FIG suspends any part of an otherwise applicable sanction because of Substantial Assistance, then notice providing justification for the decision shall be provided to the other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided in Article 14.2. In unique circumstances where WADA determines that it would be in the best interest of anti-doping, WADA may authorize FIG to enter into appropriate confidentiality agreements limiting or delaying the disclosure of the Substantial Assistance agreement or the nature of Substantial Assistance being provided.

10.6.2 Admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation in the Absence of Other Evidence

Where a Gymnast or other Person voluntarily admits the commission of an anti-doping rule violation before having received notice of a Sample collection which could establish an anti-doping rule violation (or, in the case of an anti-doping rule violation other than Article 2.1, before receiving first notice of the admitted violation pursuant to Article 7) and that admission is the only reliable evidence of the violation at the time of admission, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced, but not below one-half of the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable.
10.6.3 Prompt Admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation after being Confronted with a Violation Sanctionable under Article 10.2.1 or Article 10.3.1

A Gymnast or other Person potentially subject to a four-year sanction under Article 10.2.1 or 10.3.1 (for evading or refusing Sample Collection or Tampering with Sample Collection), by promptly admitting the asserted anti-doping rule violation after being confronted by FIG, and also upon the approval and at the discretion of both WADA and FIG, may receive a reduction in the period of Ineligibility down to a minimum of two years, depending on the seriousness of the violation and the Gymnast or other Person’s degree of Fault.

10.6.4 Application of Multiple Grounds for Reduction of a Sanction

Where a Gymnast or other Person establishes entitlement to reduction in sanction under more than one provision of Article 10.4, 10.5 or 10.6, before applying any reduction or suspension under Article 10.6, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be determined in accordance with Articles 10.2, 10.3, 10.4, and 10.5. If the Gymnast or other Person establishes entitlement to a reduction or suspension of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.6, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced or suspended, but not below one-fourth of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility.

10.7 Multiple Violations

10.7.1 For a Gymnast or other Person’s second anti-doping rule violation, the period of Ineligibility shall be the greater of:

(a) six months;

(b) one-half of the period of Ineligibility imposed for the first anti-doping rule violation without taking into account any reduction under Article 10.6; or

(c) twice the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable to the second anti-doping rule violation treated as if it were a first violation, without taking into account any reduction under Article 10.6.

The period of Ineligibility established above may then be further reduced by the application of Article 10.6.

10.7.2 A third anti-doping rule violation will always result in a lifetime period of Ineligibility, except if the third violation fulfills the condition for elimination or reduction of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.4 or 10.5, or involves a violation of Article 2.4. In these particular cases, the period of Ineligibility shall be from eight years to lifetime Ineligibility.

10.7.3 An anti-doping rule violation for which a Gymnast or other Person has established No Fault or Negligence shall not be considered a prior violation for purposes of this Article.

10.7.4 Additional Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Violations
10.7.4.1 For purposes of imposing sanctions under Article 10.7, an anti-doping rule violation will only be considered a second violation if FIG can establish that the Gymnast or other Person committed the second anti-doping rule violation after the Gymnast or other Person received notice pursuant to Article 7, or after FIG made reasonable efforts to give notice of the first anti-doping rule violation. If FIG cannot establish this, the violations shall be considered together as one single first violation, and the sanction imposed shall be based on the violation that carries the more severe sanction.

10.7.4.2 If, after the imposition of a sanction for a first anti-doping rule violation, FIG discovers facts involving an anti-doping rule violation by the Gymnast or other Person which occurred prior to notification regarding the first violation, then FIG shall impose an additional sanction based on the sanction that could have been imposed if the two violations had been adjudicated at the same time. Results in all Competitions dating back to the earlier anti-doping rule violation will be Disqualified as provided in Article 10.8.

10.7.5 Multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations during Ten-Year Period

For purposes of Article 10.7, each anti-doping rule violation must take place within the same ten-year period in order to be considered multiple violations.

10.8 Disqualification of Results in Competitions Subsequent to Sample Collection or Commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation

In addition to the automatic Disqualification of the results in the Competition which produced the positive Sample under Article 9, all other competitive results of the Gymnast obtained from the date a positive Sample was collected (whether In-Competition or Out-of-Competition), or other anti-doping rule violation occurred, through the commencement of any Provisional Suspension or Ineligibility period, shall, unless fairness requires otherwise, be Disqualified with all of the resulting Consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

10.9 Allocation of CAS Cost Awards and Forfeited Prize Money

The priority for repayment of CAS cost awards and forfeited prize money shall be: first, payment of costs awarded by CAS; second, reallocation of forfeited prize money to other Athletes; and third, reimbursement of the expenses of FIG.

10.10 Financial Consequences

Where a Gymnast or other Person commits an anti-doping rule violation, FIG may, in its discretion and subject to the principle of proportionality, elect to a) recover from the Gymnast or other Person costs associated with the anti-doping rule violation, regardless of the period of Ineligibility imposed and/or b) fine the Gymnast or other Person in an amount up to $ 5000 U.S. Dollars, only in cases where the maximum period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable has already been imposed.
The imposition of a financial sanction or the FIG’s recovery of costs shall not be considered a basis for reducing the Ineligibility or other sanction which would otherwise be applicable under these Anti-Doping Rules or the Code.

10.11 Commencement of Ineligibility Period

Except as provided below, the period of Ineligibility shall start on the date of the final hearing decision providing for Ineligibility or, if the hearing is waived or there is no hearing, on the date Ineligibility is accepted or otherwise imposed.

10.11.1 Delays Not Attributable to the Gymnast or other Person

Where there have been substantial delays in the hearing process or other aspects of Doping Control not attributable to the Gymnast or other Person, FIG may start the period of Ineligibility at an earlier date commencing as early as the date of Sample collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred. All competitive results achieved during the period of Ineligibility, including retroactive Ineligibility, shall be Disqualified.

10.11.2 Timely Admission

Where the Gymnast or other Person promptly (which, in all events, for a Gymnast means before the Gymnast competes again) admits the anti-doping rule violation after being confronted with the anti-doping rule violation by FIG, the period of Ineligibility may start as early as the date of Sample collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred. In each case, however, where this Article is applied, the Gymnast or other Person shall serve at least one-half of the period of Ineligibility going forward from the date the Gymnast or other Person accepted the imposition of a sanction, the date of a hearing decision imposing a sanction, or the date the sanction is otherwise imposed. This Article shall not apply where the period of Ineligibility has already been reduced under Article 10.6.3.

10.11.3 Credit for Provisional Suspension or Period of Ineligibility Served

10.11.3.1 If a Provisional Suspension is imposed and respected by the Gymnast or other Person, then the Gymnast or other Person shall receive a credit for such period of Provisional Suspension against any period of Ineligibility which may ultimately be imposed. If a period of Ineligibility is served pursuant to a decision that is subsequently appealed, then the Gymnast or other Person shall receive a credit for such period of Ineligibility served against any period of Ineligibility which may ultimately be imposed on appeal.

10.11.3.2 If a Gymnast or other Person voluntarily accepts a Provisional Suspension in writing from FIG and thereafter respects the Provisional Suspension, the Gymnast or other Person shall receive a credit for such period of voluntary Provisional Suspension against any period of Ineligibility which may ultimately be imposed. A copy of the Gymnast or other Person’s voluntary acceptance of a Provisional Suspension shall be provided promptly to each party entitled to receive notice of an asserted anti-doping rule violation under Article 14.1.
10.11.3.3 No credit against a period of Ineligibility shall be given for any time period before the effective date of the Provisional Suspension or voluntary Provisional Suspension regardless of whether the Gymnast elected not to compete or was suspended by his or her team.

10.11.3.4 In Team Sports, where a period of Ineligibility is imposed upon a team, unless fairness requires otherwise, the period of Ineligibility shall start on the date of the final hearing decision providing for Ineligibility or, if the hearing is waived, on the date Ineligibility is accepted or otherwise imposed. Any period of team Provisional Suspension (whether imposed or voluntarily accepted) shall be credited against the total period of Ineligibility to be served.

10.12 Status During Ineligibility

10.12.1 Prohibition Against Participation During Ineligibility

No Gymnast or other Person who has been declared Ineligible may, during the period of Ineligibility, participate in any capacity in a Competition or activity (other than authorized anti-doping education or rehabilitation programs) authorized or organized by any Signatory, Signatory’s member organization, or a club or other member organization of a Signatory’s member organization, or in Competitions authorized or organized by any professional league or any international or national level Event organization or any elite or national-level sporting activity funded by a governmental agency.

A Gymnast or other Person subject to a period of Ineligibility longer than four years may, after completing four years of the period of Ineligibility, participate as a Gymnast in local sport events not sanctioned or otherwise under the jurisdiction of a Code Signatory or member of a Code Signatory, but only so long as the local sport event is not at a level that could otherwise qualify such Gymnast or other Person directly or indirectly to compete in (or accumulate points toward) a national championship or International Event, and does not involve the Gymnast or other Person working in any capacity with Minors.

A Gymnast or other Person subject to a period of Ineligibility shall remain subject to Testing.

10.12.2 Return to Training

As an exception to Article 10.12.1, a Gymnast may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of FIG’s member organization during the shorter of: (1) the last two months of the Gymnast’s period of Ineligibility, or (2) the last one-quarter of the period of Ineligibility imposed.
10.12.3 Violation of the Prohibition of Participation During Ineligibility

Where a Gymnast or other Person who has been declared Ineligible violates the prohibition against participation during Ineligibility described in Article 10.12.1, the results of such participation shall be Disqualified and a new period of Ineligibility equal in length up to the original period of Ineligibility shall be added to the end of the original period of Ineligibility. The new period of Ineligibility may be adjusted based on the Gymnast or other Person's degree of Fault and other circumstances of the case. The determination of whether a Gymnast or other Person has violated the prohibition against participation, and whether an adjustment is appropriate, shall be made by the Anti-Doping Organization whose results management led to the imposition of the initial period of Ineligibility. This decision may be appealed under Article 13. Where a Gymnast Support Person or other Person assists a Person in violating the prohibition against participation during Ineligibility, FIG shall impose sanctions for a violation of Article 2.9 for such assistance.

10.12.4 Withholding of Financial Support during Ineligibility

In addition, for any anti-doping rule violation not involving a reduced sanction as described in Article 10.4 or 10.5, some or all sport-related financial support or other sport-related benefits received by such Person will be withheld by FIG and its National Federations.

10.13 Automatic Publication of Sanction

A mandatory part of each sanction shall include automatic publication, as provided in Article 14.3.

ARTICLE 11 CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS

11.1 Testing of Team Sports

Where one member of a team (outside of Team Sports) has been notified of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 7 in connection with an Event, the ruling body for the Event shall conduct appropriate Target Testing of all members of the team during the Event Period.

11.2 If a member of a team constituted of at least two Gymnasts competing in the same exercise, where the team ranking is based on the performance of all of the members of the team, is found to have committed a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules during an Event, the team shall be disqualified in addition to any Consequences imposed upon the individual Gymnast committing the anti-doping rule violation.

11.3 If a member of a team, where a team ranking is based on the addition of individual results, is found to have committed a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules during an Event, the results of the Gymnast committing the violation will be subtracted from the team result and replaced with the results of the next applicable team member. If by removing the Gymnast's results from the team results, the number of Gymnasts counting for the team is less than the required number, the team shall be eliminated from the ranking.

11.4 If more than one member of a team are found to have committed an antidoping rule violation during an Event Period, the ruling body of the Event shall impose an appropriate sanction on the team (e.g., loss of points, Disqualification from a Competition or Event, or
other sanction) in addition to any Consequences imposed upon the individual Gymnast(s) committing the anti-doping rule violation.

11.5 Event Ruling Body may Establish Stricter Consequences for Team Sports

The ruling body for an Event may elect to establish rules for the Event which impose Consequences for Team Sports stricter than those in Article 11.2 for purposes of the Event.

ARTICLE 12 SANCTIONS AND COSTS ASSESSED AGAINST SPORTING BODIES

12.1 FIG has the authority to withhold some or all funding or other non-financial support to National Federations or Continental Unions that are not in compliance with these Anti-Doping Rules.

12.2 National Federations shall be obligated to reimburse FIG for all costs (including but not limited to laboratory fees, hearing expenses and travel) related to a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules committed by a Gymnast or other Person affiliated with that National Federation.

12.3 FIG may elect to take additional disciplinary action against National Federations with respect to recognition, the eligibility of its officials and Gymnasts to participate in International Events and fines based on the following:

12.3.1 Four or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Article 2.4) are committed by Gymnasts or other Persons affiliated with a National Federation within a 12-month period in testing conducted by FIG or Anti-Doping Organizations other than the National Federation or its National Anti-Doping Organization. In such event FIG may in its discretion elect to: (a) ban all officials from that National Federation for participation in any FIG activities for a period of up to two years and/or (b) fine the National Federation in an amount up to $20000 U.S. Dollars. (For purposes of this Rule, any fine paid pursuant to Rule 12.3.2 shall be credited against any fine assessed.)

12.3.1.1 If four or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Articles 2.4) are committed in addition to the violations described in Article 12.3.1 by Gymnasts or other Persons affiliated with a National Federation within a 12-month period in Testing conducted by FIG or Anti-Doping Organizations other than the National Federation or its National Anti-Doping Organization, then FIG may suspend that National Federation’s membership for a period of up to 4 years.

12.3.2 More than one Gymnast or other Person from a National Federation commits an Anti-Doping Rule violation during an International Event. In such event FIG may fine that National Federation in an amount up to $10000 U.S. Dollars.

12.3.3 A National Federation has failed to make diligent efforts to keep the IF informed about a Gymnast’s whereabouts after receiving a request for that information from FIG. In such event FIG may fine the National Federation in an amount up to $5000 U.S. Dollars per Gymnast in addition to all of the FIG costs incurred in Testing that National Federation’s Gymnasts.
ARTICLE 13  APPEALS

13.1 Decisions Subject to Appeal

Decisions made under these Anti-Doping Rules may be appealed as set forth below in Article 13.2 through 13.7 or as otherwise provided in these Anti-Doping Rules, the Code or the International Standards. Such decisions shall remain in effect while under appeal unless the appellate body orders otherwise. Before an appeal is commenced, any post-decision review provided in the Anti-Doping Organization's rules must be exhausted, provided that such review respects the principles set forth in Article 13.2.2 below (except as provided in Article 13.1.3).

13.1.1 Scope of Review Not Limited

The scope of review on appeal includes all issues relevant to the matter and is expressly not limited to the issues or scope of review before the initial decision maker.

13.1.2 CAS Shall Not Defer to the Findings Being Appealed

In making its decision, CAS need not give deference to the discretion exercised by the body whose decision is being appealed.

[Comment to Article 13.1.2: CAS proceedings are de novo. Prior proceedings do not limit the evidence or carry weight in the hearing before CAS.]

13.1.3 WADA Not Required to Exhaust Internal Remedies

Where WADA has a right to appeal under Article 13 and no other party has appealed a final decision within FIG's process, WADA may appeal such decision directly to CAS without having to exhaust other remedies in FIG's process.

13.2 Appeals from Decisions Regarding Anti-Doping Rule Violations, Consequences, Provisional Suspensions, Recognition of Decisions and Jurisdiction

A decision that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision imposing Consequences or not imposing Consequences for an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision that no anti-doping rule violation was committed; a decision that an anti-doping rule violation proceeding cannot go forward for procedural reasons (including, for example, prescription); a decision by WADA not to grant an exception to the six month notice requirement for a retired Gymnast to return to Competition under Article 5.7.1; a decision by WADA assigning results management under Article 7.1 of the Code; a decision by FIG not to bring forward an Adverse Analytical Finding or an Atypical Finding as an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision not to go forward with an anti-doping rule violation after an investigation under Article 7.7; a decision to impose a Provisional Suspension as a result of a Provisional Hearing; FIG's failure to comply with Article 7.9; a decision that FIG lacks jurisdiction to rule on an alleged anti-doping rule violation or its Consequences; a decision to suspend, or not suspend, a period of Ineligibility or to reinstate, or not reinstate, a suspended period of Ineligibility under Article 10.6.1; a decision under Article 10.12.3; and
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a decision by FIG not to recognize another Anti-Doping Organization’s decision under Article 15, may be appealed exclusively as provided in Articles 13.2 – 13.7.

13.2.1 Appeals Involving International-Level Gymnasts or International Events

In cases arising from participation in an International Event or in cases involving International-Level Gymnasts, the decision may be appealed exclusively to CAS.

13.2.2 Appeals Involving Other Gymnasts or Other Persons

In cases where Article 13.2.1 is not applicable, the decision may be appealed to a national-level appeal body, being an independent and impartial body established in accordance with rules adopted by the National Anti-Doping Organization having jurisdiction over the Gymnast or other Person. The rules for such appeal shall respect the following principles: a timely hearing; a fair and impartial FIG Disciplinary Commission; the right to be represented by counsel at the Person's own expense; and a timely, written, reasoned decision. If the National Anti-Doping Organization has not established such a body, the decision may be appealed to CAS in accordance with the provisions applicable before such court.

13.2.3 Persons Entitled to Appeal

In cases under Article 13.2.1, the following parties shall have the right to appeal to CAS: (a) the Gymnast or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) FIG; (d) the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Person’s country of residence or countries where the Person is a national or license holder; (e) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (f) WADA.

In cases under Article 13.2.2, the parties having the right to appeal to the national-level appeal body shall be as provided in the National Anti-Doping Organization's rules but, at a minimum, shall include the following parties: (a) the Gymnast or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) FIG; (d) the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Person’s country of residence; (e) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (f) WADA. For cases under Article 13.2.2, WADA, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, and FIG shall also have the right to appeal to CAS with respect to the decision of the national-level appeal body. Any party filing an appeal shall be entitled to assistance from CAS to obtain all relevant information from the Anti-Doping Organization whose decision is being appealed and the information shall be provided if CAS so directs.
Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the only Person who may appeal from a Provisional Suspension is the Gymnast or other Person upon whom the Provisional Suspension is imposed.

13.2.4 Cross Appeals and other Subsequent Appeals Allowed

Cross appeals and other subsequent appeals by any respondent named in cases brought to CAS under the Code are specifically permitted. Any party with a right to appeal under this Article 13 must file a cross appeal or subsequent appeal at the latest with the party's answer.

13.3 Failure to Render a Timely Decision

Where, in a particular case, FIG fails to render a decision with respect to whether an anti-doping rule violation was committed within a reasonable deadline set by WADA, WADA may elect to appeal directly to CAS as if FIG had rendered a decision finding no anti-doping rule violation. If the CAS FIG Disciplinary Commission determines that an anti-doping rule violation was committed and that WADA acted reasonably in electing to appeal directly to CAS, then WADA's costs and attorney fees in prosecuting the appeal shall be reimbursed to WADA by FIG.

13.3.1 Failure of National Federation to Render a Timely Decision

Where, in a particular case, a FIG affiliated National Federation fails to render a decision with respect to whether an anti-doping rule violation (for which the National Federation is the competent Results Management Authority) was committed within a reasonable deadline set by FIG, FIG may decide to assume jurisdiction for the matters and conduct Results Management Authority in accordance with these Anti-Doping Rules.

Should this occur, the National Federation is liable for the costs incurred by FIG for the management of the case.

13.4 Appeals Relating to TUEs

TUE decisions may be appealed exclusively as provided in Article 4.4.

13.5 Notification of Appeal Decisions

Any Anti-Doping Organization that is a party to an appeal shall promptly provide the appeal decision to the Gymnast or other Person and to the other Anti-Doping Organizations that would have been entitled to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided under Article 14.2.

13.6 Appeal from Decisions Pursuant to Article 12

Decisions by FIG pursuant to Article 12 may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the National Federation.
13.7 Time for Filing Appeals

13.7.1 Appeals to CAS

The time to file an appeal to CAS shall be twenty-one days from the date of receipt of the decision by the appealing party. The above notwithstanding, the following shall apply in connection with appeals filed by a party entitled to appeal but which was not a party to the proceedings that led to the decision being appealed:

a) Within fifteen days from notice of the decision, such party/ies shall have the right to request a copy of the case file from the body that issued the decision;

b) If such a request is made within the fifteen-day period, then the party making such request shall have twenty-one days from receipt of the file to file an appeal to CAS.

The above notwithstanding, the filing deadline for an appeal filed by WADA shall be the later of:

a) Twenty-one days after the last day on which any other party in the case could have appealed; or

b) Twenty-one days after WADA’s receipt of the complete file relating to the decision.

13.7.2 Appeals Under Article 13.2.2

The time to file an appeal to an independent and impartial body established at national level in accordance with rules established by the National Anti-Doping Organization shall be indicated by the same rules of the National Anti-Doping Organization.

The above notwithstanding, the filing deadline for an appeal or intervention filed by WADA shall be the later of:

a. Twenty-one days after the last day on which any other party in the case could have appealed, or

b. Twenty-one days after WADA’s receipt of the complete file relating to the decision.

ARTICLE 14 CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING

14.1 Information Concerning Adverse Analytical Findings, Atypical Findings, and Other Asserted Anti-Doping Rule Violations

14.1.1 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to Gymnasts and other Persons

Notice to Gymnasts or other Persons of anti-doping rule violations asserted against them shall occur as provided under Articles 7 and 14 of these Anti-Doping Rules. Notice to a Gymnast or other Person who is a member of a National Federation may be accomplished by delivery of the notice to the National Federation.
14.1.2 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to National Anti-Doping Organizations and WADA

Notice of the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation to National Anti-Doping Organizations and WADA shall occur as provided under Articles 7 and 14 of these Anti-Doping Rules, simultaneously with the notice to the Gymnast or other Person.

14.1.3 Content of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Notice

Notification of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1 shall include: the Gymnast’s name, country, sport and discipline within the sport, the Gymnast’s competitive level, whether the test was In-Competition or Out-of-Competition, the date of Sample collection, the analytical result reported by the laboratory, and other information as required by the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Notice of anti-doping rule violations other than under Article 2.1 shall include the rule violated and the basis of the asserted violation.

14.1.4 Status Reports

Except with respect to investigations which have not resulted in notice of an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to Article 14.1.1, National Anti-Doping Organizations and WADA shall be regularly updated on the status and findings of any review or proceedings conducted pursuant to Article 7, 8 or 13 and shall be provided with a prompt written reasoned explanation or decision explaining the resolution of the matter.

14.1.5 Confidentiality

The recipient organizations shall not disclose this information beyond those Persons with a need to know (which would include the appropriate personnel at the applicable National Olympic Committee, National Federation, and team in a Team Sport) until FIG has made Public Disclosure or has failed to make Public Disclosure as required in Article 14.3.

14.1.6 FIG shall ensure that information concerning Adverse Analytical Findings, Atypical Findings, and other asserted anti-doping rule violations remains confidential until such information is Publicly Disclosed in accordance with Article 14.3, and shall include provisions in any contract entered into between FIG and any of its employees (whether permanent or otherwise), contractors, agents and consultants, for the protection of such confidential information as well as for the investigation and disciplining of improper and/or unauthorised disclosure of such confidential information.

14.2 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violation Decisions and Request for Files

14.2.1 Anti-doping rule violation decisions rendered pursuant to Article 7.11, 8.2, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6, 10.12.3 or 13.5 shall include the full reasons for the decision, including, if applicable, a justification for why the greatest possible Consequences were not imposed. Where the decision is not in English or French, FIG shall
provide a short English or French summary of the decision and the supporting reasons.

14.2.2 An Anti-Doping Organization having a right to appeal a decision received pursuant to Article 14.2.1 may, within fifteen days of receipt, request a copy of the full case file pertaining to the decision.

14.3 Public Disclosure

14.3.1 The identity of any Gymnast or other Person who is asserted by FIG to have committed an anti-doping rule violation may be Publicly Disclosed by FIG only after notice has been provided to the Gymnast or other Person in accordance with Article 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6 or 7.7 and simultaneously to WADA and the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Gymnast or other Person in accordance with Article 14.1.2.

14.3.2 No later than twenty days after it has been determined in a final appellate decision under Article 13.2.1 or 13.2.2, or such appeal has been waived, or a hearing in accordance with Article 8 has been waived, or the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has not been timely challenged, FIG must Publicly Report the disposition of the matter, including the sport, the anti-doping rule violated, the name of the Gymnast or other Person committing the violation, the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method involved (if any), and the Consequences imposed. FIG must also Publicly Report within twenty days the results of final appeal decisions concerning anti-doping rule violations, including the information described above.

14.3.3 In any case where it is determined, after a hearing or appeal, that the Gymnast or other Person did not commit an anti-doping rule violation, the decision may be Publicly Disclosed only with the consent of the Gymnast or other Person who is the subject of the decision. FIG shall use reasonable efforts to obtain such consent. If consent is obtained, FIG shall Publicly Disclose the decision in its entirety or in such redacted form as the Gymnast or other Person may approve.

14.3.4 Publication shall be accomplished at a minimum by placing the required information on the FIG’s website or publishing it through other means and leaving the information up for the longer of one month or the duration of any period of Ineligibility.

14.3.5 Neither FIG, nor its National Federations, nor any official of either body, shall publicly comment on the specific facts of any pending case (as opposed to general description of process and science) except in response to public comments attributed to the Gymnast or other Person against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted, or their representatives.

14.3.6 The mandatory Public Reporting required in Article 14.3.2 shall not be required where the Gymnast or other Person who has been found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation is a Minor. Any optional Public Reporting in a case involving a Minor shall be proportionate to the facts and circumstances of the case.
14.3.7 Except where expressly stated otherwise, a notice under these Anti-Doping Rules shall only be effective if it is in writing. Faxes and email are permitted.

14.3.8 Any notice given under these Anti-Doping Rules shall, in the absence of earlier receipt, be deemed to have been duly given as follows:
   a. if delivered personally, on delivery;
   b. if sent by first class post, two clear business days after the date of posting;
   c. if sent by airmail, six clear business days after the date of posting;
   d. if sent by facsimile, at the expiration of 48 hours after the time it was sent;
   e. if sent by email, at the time at which it was sent.

14.4 Statistical Reporting

FIG shall publish at least annually a general statistical report of its Doping Control activities, with a copy provided to WADA. FIG may also publish reports showing the name of each Gymnast tested and the date of each Testing.

14.5 Doping Control Information Clearinghouse

To facilitate coordinated test distribution planning and to avoid unnecessary duplication in Testing by the various Anti-Doping Organizations, FIG shall report all In-Competition and Out-of-Competition tests on such Gymnasts to the WADA clearinghouse, using ADAMS, as soon as possible after such tests have been conducted. This information will be made accessible, where appropriate and in accordance with the applicable rules, to the Gymnast, the Gymnast’s National Anti-Doping Organization and any other Anti-Doping Organizations with Testing authority over the Gymnast.

14.6 Data Privacy

14.6.1 FIG may collect, store, process or disclose personal information relating to Gymnasts and other Persons where necessary and appropriate to conduct their anti-doping activities under the Code, the International Standards (including specifically the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information) and these Anti-Doping Rules.

14.6.2 Any Participant who submits information including personal data to any Person in accordance with these Anti-Doping Rules shall be deemed to have agreed, pursuant to applicable data protection laws and otherwise, that such information may be collected, processed, disclosed and used by such Person for the purposes of the implementation of these Anti-Doping Rules, in accordance with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information and otherwise as required to implement these Anti-Doping Rules.

ARTICLE 15 APPLICATION AND RECOGNITION OF DECISIONS

15.1 Subject to the right to appeal provided in Article 13, Testing, hearing results or other final adjudications of any Signatory which are consistent with the Code and are within that Signatory’s authority shall be applicable worldwide and shall be recognized and respected by FIG and all its National Federations.
15.2 FIG and its National Federations shall recognize the measures taken by other bodies which have not accepted the Code if the rules of those bodies are otherwise consistent with the Code.

15.3 Subject to the right to appeal provided in Article 13, any decision of FIG regarding a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules shall be recognized by all National Federations, which shall take all necessary action to render such decision effective.

ARTICLE 16 INCORPORATION OF FIG ANTI-DOPING RULES AND OBLIGATIONS OF NATIONAL FEDERATIONS

16.1 All National Federations and their members shall comply with these Anti-Doping Rules. All National Federations and other members shall include in their regulations the provisions necessary to ensure that FIG may enforce these Anti-Doping Rules directly as against Gymnasts under their anti-doping jurisdiction (including National-Level Gymnasts). These Anti-Doping Rules shall also be incorporated either directly or by reference into each National Federation’s rules so that the National Federation may enforce them itself directly as against Gymnasts under its anti-doping jurisdiction (including National-Level Gymnasts).

16.2 All National Federations and Continental Unions shall establish rules requiring all Gymnasts and each Gymnast Support Personnel who participates as coach, trainer, manager, team staff, official, medical or paramedical personnel in a Competition or activity authorized or organized by a National Federation or one of its member organizations to agree to be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules and to submit to the results management authority of the Anti-Doping Organization responsible under the Code as a condition of such participation.

16.3 All National Federations and Continental Unions shall report any information suggesting or relating to an anti-doping rule violation to FIG and to their National Anti-Doping Organizations, and shall cooperate with investigations conducted by any Anti-Doping Organization with authority to conduct the investigation.

16.4 All National Federations and Continental Unions shall have disciplinary rules in place to prevent Gymnast Support Personnel who are Using Prohibited Substances or Prohibited Methods without valid justification from providing support to Gymnasts under the jurisdiction of FIG or the National Federation.

16.5 All National Federations and Continental Unions shall be required to conduct anti-doping education in coordination with their National Anti-Doping Organizations.

16.6 Statistical Reporting

National Federations and Continental Unions shall report to the FIG Anti-Doping Administrator or its delegate within the first three (3) months of each year, results of all Doping Controls within their jurisdiction sorted by Gymnast and identifying each date on which the Gymnast was tested, the entity conducting the test, and whether the test was In-Competition or Out-of-Competition.
16.7 FIG may periodically publish Testing data received from National Federations as well as comparable data from Testing under FIG’s jurisdiction. FIG shall publish annually a general statistical report of its Doping Control activities during the calendar year with a copy provided to WADA.

16.8 Failure by National Federations or Continental Unions to respect the obligations prescribed in article 16 may result in a sanction under FIG's disciplinary rules/code of conduct.

ARTICLE 17  STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

No anti-doping rule violation proceeding may be commenced against an Gymnast or other Person unless he or she has been notified of the anti-doping rule violation as provided in Article 7, or notification has been reasonably attempted, within ten years from the date the violation is asserted to have occurred.

ARTICLE 18  FIG COMPLIANCE REPORTS TO WADA

FIG will report to WADA on FIG’s compliance with the Code in accordance with Article 23.5.2 of the Code.

ARTICLE 19  EDUCATION

FIG shall plan, implement, evaluate and monitor information, education and prevention programs for doping-free sport on at least the issues listed at Article 18.2 of the Code, and shall support active participation by Gymnasts and Gymnast Support Personnel in such programs.

ARTICLE 20  AMENDMENT AND INTERPRETATION OF ANTI-DOPING RULES

20.1 These Anti-Doping Rules may be amended from time to time by FIG.

20.2 These Anti-Doping Rules shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to existing law or statutes.

20.3 The headings used for the various Parts and Articles of these Anti-Doping Rules are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of these Anti-Doping Rules or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.

20.4 The Code and the International Standards shall be considered integral parts of these Anti-Doping Rules and shall prevail in case of conflict.

20.5 These Anti-Doping Rules have been adopted pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Code and shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with applicable provisions of the Code. The Introduction shall be considered an integral part of these Anti-Doping Rules.
20.6 The comments annotating various provisions of the Code are incorporated by reference into these Anti-Doping Rules, shall be treated as if set out in full herein, and shall be used to interpret these Anti-Doping Rules.

20.7 These Anti-Doping Rules have come into full force and effect on [1 January 2015] (the “Effective Date”). They shall not apply retroactively to matters pending before the Effective Date; provided, however, that:

20.7.1 Anti-doping rule violations taking place prior to the Effective Date count as "first violations" or "second violations" for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10 for violations taking place after the Effective Date.

20.7.2 The retrospective periods in which prior violations can be considered for purposes of multiple violations under Article 10.7.5 and the statute of limitations set forth in Article 17 are procedural rules and should be applied retroactively; provided, however, that Article 17 shall only be applied retroactively if the statute of limitations period has not already expired by the Effective Date. Otherwise, with respect to any anti-doping rule violation case which is pending as of the Effective Date and any anti-doping rule violation case brought after the Effective Date based on an anti-doping rule violation which occurred prior to the Effective Date, the case shall be governed by the substantive anti-doping rules in effect at the time the alleged anti-doping rule violation occurred unless the FIG Disciplinary Commission the case determines the principle of "lex mitior" appropriately applies under the circumstances of the case.

20.7.3 Any Article 2.4 whereabouts failure (whether a Filing Failure or a Missed Test, as those terms are defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations) prior to the Effective Date shall be carried forward and may be relied upon, prior to expiry, in accordance with the International Standard for Testing and Investigation, but it shall be deemed to have expired 12 months after it occurred.

20.7.4 With respect to cases where a final decision finding an anti-doping rule violation has been rendered prior to the Effective Date, but the Gymnast or other Person is still serving the period of Ineligibility as of the Effective Date, the Gymnast or other Person may apply to the Anti-Doping Organization which had results management responsibility for the anti-doping rule violation to consider a reduction in the period of Ineligibility in light of these Anti-Doping Rules. Such application must be made before the period of Ineligibility has expired. The decision rendered may be appealed pursuant to Article 13.2. These Anti-Doping Rules shall have no application to any case where a final decision finding an anti-doping rule violation has been rendered and the period of Ineligibility has expired.

20.7.5 For purposes of assessing the period of Ineligibility for a second violation under Article 10.7.1, where the sanction for the first violation was determined based on rules in force prior to the Effective Date, the period of Ineligibility which would have been assessed for that first violation had these Anti-Doping Rules been applicable, shall be applied.
ARTICLE 21  INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE

21.1 The official text of the Code shall be maintained by WADA and shall be published in English and French. In the event of any conflict between the English and French versions, the English version shall prevail.

21.2 The comments annotating various provisions of the Code shall be used to interpret the Code.

21.3 The Code shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to the existing law or statutes of the Signatories or governments.

21.4 The headings used for the various Parts and Articles of the Code are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of the Code or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.

21.5 The Code shall not apply retroactively to matters pending before the date the Code is accepted by a Signatory and implemented in its rules. However, pre-Code anti-doping rule violations would continue to count as "first violations" or "second violations" for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10 for subsequent post-Code violations.

21.6 The Purpose, Scope and Organization of the World Anti-Doping Program and the Code and Appendix 1, Definitions, and Appendix 2, Examples of the Application of Article 10, shall be considered integral parts of the Code.

ARTICLE 22  ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF GYMNASTS AND OTHER PERSONS

22.1 Roles and Responsibilities of Gymnasts

22.1.1 To be knowledgeable of and comply with these Anti-Doping Rules.

22.1.2 To be available for Sample collection at all times.

[Comment to Article 22.1.2: With due regard to a Gymnast's human rights and privacy, legitimate anti-doping considerations sometimes require Sample collection late at night or early in the morning. For example, it is known that some Gymnasts use low doses of EPO during these hours so that it will be undetectable in the morning.]

22.1.3 To take responsibility, in the context of anti-doping, for what they ingest and Use.

22.1.4 To inform medical personnel of their obligation not to Use Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods and to take responsibility to make sure that any medical treatment received does not violate these Anti-Doping Rules.

22.1.5 To disclose to their National Anti-Doping Organization and to FIG any decision by a non-Signatory finding that the Gymnast committed an anti-doping rule violation within the previous ten years.
22.1.6 To cooperate with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations.

22.1.7 Failure by any Gymnast to cooperate in full with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations may result in a charge of misconduct under FIG’s disciplinary rules/code of conduct.

22.2 Roles and Responsibilities of Gymnast Support Personnel

22.2.1 To be knowledgeable of and comply with these Anti-Doping Rules.

22.2.2 To cooperate with the Gymnast Testing program.

22.2.3 To use his or her influence on Gymnast values and behavior to foster anti-doping attitudes.

22.2.4 To disclose to his or her National Anti-Doping Organization and to FIG any decision by a non-Signatory finding that he or she committed an anti-doping rule violation within the previous ten years.

22.2.5 To cooperate with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations.

22.2.6 Failure by any Gymnast Support Personnel to cooperate in full with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations may result in a charge of misconduct under FIG’s disciplinary rules/code of conduct.

22.2.7 Gymnast Support Personnel shall not Use or Possess any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method without valid justification.

22.2.8 Use or Possession of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method by a Gymnast Support Personnel without valid justification may result in a charge of misconduct under FIG's disciplinary rules/code of conduct.
APPENDIX 1 DEFINITIONS

ADAMS: The Anti-Doping Administration and Management System is a Web-based database management tool for data entry, storage, sharing, and reporting designed to assist stakeholders and WADA in their anti-doping operations in conjunction with data protection legislation.

Administration: Providing, supplying, supervising, facilitating, or otherwise participating in the Use or Attempted Use by another Person of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method. However, this definition shall not include the actions of bona fide medical personnel involving a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification and shall not include actions involving Prohibited Substances which are not prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate that such Prohibited Substances are not intended for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or are intended to enhance sport performance.

Adverse Analytical Finding: A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory that, consistent with the International Standard for Laboratories and related Technical Documents, identifies in a Sample the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers (including elevated quantities of endogenous substances) or evidence of the Use of a Prohibited Method.

Adverse Passport Finding: A report identified as an Adverse Passport Finding as described in the applicable International Standards.

Anti-Doping Organization: A Signatory that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the Doping Control process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other Major Event Organizations that conduct Testing at their Events, WADA, International Federations, and National Anti-Doping Organizations.

Gymnast: Any Person who competes in sport at the international level (as defined by each International Federation), or the national level (as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization). An Anti-Doping Organization has discretion to apply anti-doping rules to a Gymnast who is neither an International-Level Gymnast nor a National-Level Gymnast, and thus to bring them within the definition of "Gymnast." In relation to Gymnasts who are neither International-Level nor National-Level Gymnasts, an Anti-Doping Organization may elect to: conduct limited Testing or no Testing at all; analyze Samples for less than the full menu of Prohibited Substances; require limited or no whereabouts information; or not require advance TUEs. However, if an Article 2.1, 2.3 or 2.5 anti-doping rule violation is committed by any Gymnast over whom an Anti-Doping Organization has authority who competes below the international or national level, then the Consequences set forth in the Code (except Article 14.3.2) must be applied. For purposes of Article 2.8 and Article 2.9 and for purposes of anti-doping information and education, any Person who participates in sport under the authority of any Signatory, government, or other sports organization accepting the Code is an Gymnast.

[Comment: This definition makes it clear that all International- and National-Level Gymnasts are subject to the anti-doping rules of the Code, with the precise definitions of international- and national-level sport to be set forth in the anti-doping rules of the International Federations and National Anti-Doping Organizations, respectively. The definition also allows each National Anti-Doping Organization, if it chooses to do so, to expand its anti-doping program beyond
International- or National-Level Gymnasts to competitors at lower levels of Competition or to individuals who engage in fitness activities but do not compete at all. Thus, a National Anti-Doping Organization could, for example, elect to test recreational-level competitors but not require advance TUEs. But an anti-doping rule violation involving an Adverse Analytical Finding or Tampering results in all of the Consequences provided for in the Code (with the exception of Article 14.3.2). The decision on whether Consequences apply to recreational-level Gymnasts who engage in fitness activities but never compete is left to the National Anti-Doping Organization. In the same manner, a Major Event Organization holding an Event only for masters-level competitors could elect to test the competitors but not analyze Samples for the full menu of Prohibited Substances. Competitors at all levels of Competition should receive the benefit of anti-doping information and education.

**Gymnast Biological Passport:** The program and methods of gathering and collating data as described in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and International Standard for Laboratories.

**Gymnast Support Personnel:** Any coach, trainer, manager, agent, team staff, official, medical, paramedical personnel, parent or any other Person working with, treating or assisting a Gymnast participating in or preparing for sports Competition.

**Attempt:** Purposely engaging in conduct that constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to culminate in the commission of an anti-doping rule violation. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on an Attempt to commit a violation if the Person renounces the Attempt prior to it being discovered by a third party not involved in the Attempt.

**Atypical Finding:** A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory which requires further investigation as provided by the International Standard for Laboratories or related Technical Documents prior to the determination of an Adverse Analytical Finding.

**Atypical Passport Finding:** A report described as an Atypical Passport Finding as described in the applicable International Standards.

**CAS:** The Court of Arbitration for Sport.

**Code:** The World Anti-Doping Code.

**Competition:** A single race, match, game or singular sport contest. For stage races and other sport contests where prizes are awarded on a daily or other interim basis the distinction between a Competition and an Event will be as provided in the rules of the applicable International Federation.

**Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations (“Consequences”):** An Gymnast's or other Person's violation of an anti-doping rule may result in one or more of the following: (a) **Disqualification** means the Gymnast's results in a particular Competition or Event are invalidated, with all resulting Consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes; (b) **Ineligibility** means the Gymnast or other Person is barred on account of an anti-doping rule violation for a specified period of time from participating in any Competition or other activity or funding as provided in Article 10.12.1; (c) **Provisional Suspension** means the Gymnast or other Person is barred temporarily from participating in any Competition or activity prior to the final decision at a hearing conducted under Article 8; (d) **Financial Consequences** means a financial
sanction imposed for an anti-doping rule violation or to recover costs associated with an anti-doping rule violation; and (e) **Public Disclosure or Public Reporting** means the dissemination or distribution of information to the general public or **Persons** beyond those **Persons** entitled to earlier notification in accordance with Article 14. Teams in Team Sports may also be subject to Consequences as provided in Article 11 of the Code.

**Contaminated Product:** A product that contains a **Prohibited Substance** that is not disclosed on the product label or in information available in a reasonable Internet search.

**Continental Union:** A continental entity which is a member of or is recognized by FIG as the entity governing FIG’s sport in a specific Continent.

**Disqualification:** See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

**Doping Control:** All steps and processes from test distribution planning through to ultimate disposition of any appeal including all steps and processes in between such as provision of whereabouts information, **Sample** collection and handling, laboratory analysis, **TUEs**, results management and hearings.

**Event:** A series of individual **Competitions** conducted together under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games, FIG World Championships, or Pan American Games).

**Event Venues:** Those venues so designated by the ruling body for the **Event**. For the sport of FIG, the **Event** **Venues** are considered the official warming, training, accommodation and **Competition** venues for the **Event**.

**Event Period:** The time between the beginning and end of an **Event**, as established by the ruling body of the **Event**.

**Fault:** **Fault** is any breach of duty or any lack of care appropriate to a particular situation. Factors to be taken into consideration in assessing a **Gymnast** or other **Person**’s degree of **Fault** include, for example, the **Gymnast**’s or other **Person**’s experience, whether the **Gymnast** or other **Person** is a **Minor**, special considerations such as impairment, the degree of risk that should have been perceived by the **Gymnast** and the level of care and investigation exercised by the **Gymnast** in relation to what should have been the perceived level of risk. In assessing the **Gymnast**’s or other **Person**’s degree of **Fault**, the circumstances considered must be specific and relevant to explain the **Gymnast**’s or other **Person**’s departure from the expected standard of behavior. Thus, for example, the fact that a **Gymnast** would lose the opportunity to earn large sums of money during a period of **Ineligibility**, or the fact that the **Gymnast** only has a short time left in his or her career, or the timing of the sporting calendar, would not be relevant factors to be considered in reducing the period of **Ineligibility** under Article 10.5.1 or 10.5.2.

[Comment: The criteria for assessing a **Gymnast**’s degree of **Fault** is the same under all Articles where **Fault** is to be considered. However, under Article 10.5.2, no reduction of sanction is appropriate unless, when the degree of **Fault** is assessed, the conclusion is that No Significant **Fault** or **Negligence** on the part of the **Gymnast** or other **Person** was involved.]

**Financial Consequences:** see Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations, above.
**In-Competition**: For FIG, “In-Competition” means the period commencing once the Gymnast is accredited on the official date of his/her arrival at the Event, according to the related official FIG Workplan, and ending after the completion of the last Exercise of the last gymnast of the Event.

**Independent Observer Program**: A team of observers, under the supervision of WADA, who observe and provide guidance on the Doping Control process at certain Events and report on their observations.

**Individual Sport**: Any sport that is not a Team Sport.

**Ineligibility**: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

**International Event**: An Event or Competition where the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an International Federation, a Major Event Organization, or another international sport organization is the ruling body for the Event or appoints the technical officials for the Event.

**International-Level Gymnast**: Gymnasts who compete in sport at the international level, as defined by each International Federation, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. For the sport of Gymnastics, International-Level Gymnasts are defined as set out in the Scope section of the Introduction to these Anti-Doping Rules.

[Comment: Consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Federation is free to determine the criteria it will use to classify Gymnasts as International-Level Gymnasts, e.g., by ranking, by participation in particular International Events, by type of license, etc. However, it must publish those criteria in clear and concise form, so that Gymnasts are able to ascertain quickly and easily when they will become classified as International-Level Gymnasts. For example, if the criteria include participation in certain International Events, then the International Federation must publish a list of those International Events.]

**International Standard**: A standard adopted by WADA in support of the Code. Compliance with an International Standard (as opposed to another alternative standard, practice or procedure) shall be sufficient to conclude that the procedures addressed by the International Standard were performed properly. International Standards shall include any Technical Documents issued pursuant to the International Standard.

**Major Event Organizations**: The continental associations of National Olympic Committees and other international multi-sport organizations that function as the ruling body for any continental, regional or other International Event.

**Marker**: A compound, group of compounds or biological variable(s) that indicates the Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

**Metabolite**: Any substance produced by a biotransformation process.

**Minor**: A natural Person who has not reached the age of eighteen years.

**National Anti-Doping Organization**: The entity(ies) designated by each country as possessing the primary authority and responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of Samples, the management of test results, and the conduct of hearings at the national level. If
this designation has not been made by the competent public authority(ies), the entity shall be the country’s National Olympic Committee or its designee.

**National Event**: A sport Event or Competition involving International- or National-Level Gymnasts that is not an International Event.

**National Federation**: A national or regional entity which is a member of or is recognized by FIG as the entity governing FIG’s sport in that nation or region.

**National-Level Gymnast**: Gymnasts who compete in sport at the national level, as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

**National Olympic Committee**: The organization recognized by the International Olympic Committee. The term National Olympic Committee shall also include the National Sport Confederation in those countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical National Olympic Committee responsibilities in the anti-doping area.

**No Fault or Negligence**: The Gymnast or other Person’s establishing that he or she did not know or suspect, and could not reasonably have known or suspected even with the exercise of utmost caution, that he or she had Used or been administered the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method or otherwise violated an anti-doping rule. Except in the case of a Minor, for any violation of Article 2.1, the Gymnast must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system.

**No Significant Fault or Negligence**: The Gymnast or other Person’s establishing that his or her Fault or negligence, when viewed in the totality of the circumstances and taking into account the criteria for No Fault or negligence, was not significant in relationship to the anti-doping rule violation. Except in the case of a Minor, for any violation of Article 2.1, the Gymnast must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system.

[Comment: For Cannabinoids, a Gymnast may establish No Significant Fault or Negligence by clearly demonstrating that the context of the Use was unrelated to sport performance.]

**Out-of-Competition**: Any period which is not In-Competition.

**Participant**: Any Gymnast or Gymnast Support Person.

**Person**: A natural Person or an organization or other entity.

**Possession**: The actual, physical Possession, or the constructive Possession (which shall be found only if the Person has exclusive control or intends to exercise control over the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method exists); provided, however, that if the Person does not have exclusive control over the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method exists, constructive Possession shall only be found if the Person knew about the presence of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method and intended to exercise control over it. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on Possession if, prior to receiving notification of any kind that the Person has committed an anti-doping rule violation, the Person has taken concrete action demonstrating that the Person never intended to have Possession and has renounced Possession by explicitly declaring it to an Anti-Doping
Organization. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this definition, the purchase (including by any electronic or other means) of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method constitutes Possession by the Person who makes the purchase.

[Comment: Under this definition, steroids found in a Gymnast's car would constitute a violation unless the Gymnast establishes that someone else used the car; in that event, the Anti-Doping Organization must establish that, even though the Gymnast did not have exclusive control over the car, the Gymnast knew about the steroids and intended to have control over the steroids. Similarly, in the example of steroids found in a home medicine cabinet under the joint control of a Gymnast and spouse, the Anti-Doping Organization must establish that the Gymnast knew the steroids were in the cabinet and that the Gymnast intended to exercise control over the steroids. The act of purchasing a Prohibited Substance alone constitutes Possession, even where, for example, the product does not arrive, is received by someone else, or is sent to a third party address.]

Prohibited List: The List identifying the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods.

Prohibited Method: Any method so described on the Prohibited List.

Prohibited Substance: Any substance, or class of substances, so described on the Prohibited List.

Provisional Hearing: For purposes of Article 7.9, an expedited abbreviated hearing occurring prior to a hearing under Article 8 that provides the Gymnast with notice and an opportunity to be heard in either written or oral form.

[Comment: A Provisional Hearing is only a preliminary proceeding which may not involve a full review of the facts of the case. Following a Provisional Hearing, the Gymnast remains entitled to a subsequent full hearing on the merits of the case. By contrast, an “expedited hearing,” as that term is used in Article 7.9, is a full hearing on the merits conducted on an expedited time schedule.]

Provisional Suspension: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Publicly Disclose or Publicly Report: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Regional Anti-Doping Organization: A regional entity designated by member countries to coordinate and manage delegated areas of their national anti-doping programs, which may include the adoption and implementation of anti-doping rules, the planning and collection of Samples, the management of results, the review of TUEs, the conduct of hearings, and the conduct of educational programs at a regional level.

Registered Testing Pool: The pool of highest-priority Gymnasts established separately at the international level by International Federations and at the national level by National Anti-Doping Organizations, who are subject to focused In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing as part of that International Federation’s or National Anti-Doping Organization’s test distribution plan and therefore are required to provide whereabouts information as provided in Article 5.6 of the Code and the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Sample or Specimen: Any biological material collected for the purposes of Doping Control.
Comment: It has sometimes been claimed that the collection of blood Samples violates the tenets of certain religious or cultural groups. It has been determined that there is no basis for any such claim.

Signatories: Those entities signing the Code and agreeing to comply with the Code, as provided in Article 23 of the Code.

Specified Substance: See Article 4.2.

Strict Liability: The rule which provides that under Article 2.1 and Article 2.2, it is not necessary that intent, Fault, negligence, or knowing Use on the Gymnast’s part be demonstrated by the Anti-Doping Organization in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation.

Substantial Assistance: For purposes of Article 10.6.1, a Person providing Substantial Assistance must: (1) fully disclose in a signed written statement all information he or she possesses in relation to anti-doping rule violations, and (2) fully cooperate with the investigation and adjudication of any case related to that information, including, for example, presenting testimony at a hearing if requested to do so by an Anti-Doping Organization or FIG Disciplinary Commission. Further, the information provided must be credible and must comprise an important part of any case which is initiated or, if no case is initiated, must have provided a sufficient basis on which a case could have been brought.

Tampering: Altering for an improper purpose or in an improper way; bringing improper influence to bear; interfering improperly; obstructing, misleading or engaging in any fraudulent conduct to alter results or prevent normal procedures from occurring.


Team Sport: A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a Competition.

Testing: The parts of the Doping Control process involving test distribution planning, Sample collection, Sample handling, and Sample transport to the laboratory.

Trafficking: Selling, giving, transporting, sending, delivering or distributing (or Possessing for any such purpose) a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method (either physically or by any electronic or other means) by a Gymnast, Gymnast Support Person or any other Person subject to the jurisdiction of an Anti-Doping Organization to any third party; provided, however, this definition shall not include the actions of “bona fide” medical personnel involving a Prohibited Substance used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification, and shall not include actions involving Prohibited Substances which are not prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate such Prohibited Substances are not intended for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or are intended to enhance sport performance.

TUE: Therapeutic Use Exemption, as described in Article 4.4.

UNESCO Convention: The International Convention against Doping in Sport adopted by the 33rd session of the UNESCO General Conference on 19 October, 2005 including any and all amendments adopted by the States Parties to the Convention and the Conference of Parties to the International Convention against Doping in Sport.
**Use**: The utilization, application, ingestion, injection or consumption by any means whatsoever of any *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

**WADA**: The World Anti-Doping Agency.

*Comment: Defined terms shall include their plural and possessive forms, as well as those terms used as other parts of speech*